

Targeted Residential Fire Risk Reduction

A Summary of At-Risk Aboriginal Areas in Canada



Len Garis, Sarah Hugan, Paul Maxim, and Alex Tyakoff

October 2016

Executive Summary

Despite the steady reduction in rates of fire that have been witnessed in Canada in recent years, ongoing research has demonstrated that there continue to be striking inequalities in the way in which fire risk is distributed through society. It is well-established that residential dwelling fires are not distributed evenly through society, but that certain sectors in Canada experience disproportionate numbers of incidents. Oftentimes, it is the most vulnerable segments of society who face the greatest risk of fire and can least afford the personal and property damage it incurs. Fire risks are accentuated when property owners or occupiers fail to install and maintain fire and life safety devices such as smoke alarms and carbon monoxide detectors in their homes. These life saving devices are proven to be highly effective, inexpensive to obtain and, in most cases, Canadian fire services will install them for free.

A key component of driving down residential fire rates in Canadian cities, towns, hamlets and villages is the identification of communities where fire risk is greatest. Using the internationally recognized Home Safe methodology described in this study, the following Aboriginal and Non-Aboriginal communities in provinces and territories across Canada are determined to be at heightened risk of residential fire. These communities would benefit from a targeted smoke alarm give-away program and public education campaign to reduce the risk of residential fires and ensure the safety and well-being of all Canadian citizens.

Study Findings

- British Columbia: Communities that had more than 5% of their population at-risk are Prince Rupert, Port Hardy, Williams Lake, and Chase. While all populated Aboriginal communities should be visited, the top five “on-reserve” areas with the greatest population are Cowichan, Mount Currie, Bella Bella 1, Bella Coola 1, and Capilano 5.
- Alberta: Communities that had more than 20% of their population at-risk are Samson 137, High Level, Blood, and 148. These areas are also “on-reserve” locations but also meet one or more of the HomeSafe criteria. Areas that had over 5% of their population at risk include Wood Buffalo, Smoky Lake County, Big Lakes and Slave Lake. The top five “on-reserve” areas with the greatest population are Blood 148, Stoney 142, 143, 144, Samson 137, Fox Lake 162, and Ermineskin 138.
- Saskatchewan: Overall, the population affected is quite low. There were not any communities where over 5% of their population was at-risk. The top five “on-reserve” areas with the greatest population are Seekaskootch 19, Lac La Ronge 156, Big River 118, Beardy’s 97 and Okemasis 96, and Lac La Hache 2009.

- Manitoba: Communities that had more than 5% of their population at-risk are Norway House 17, Peguis 1B, and Thompson. The top five “on-reserve” areas with the greatest population are St. Theresa Point, Garden Hill First Nation, Norway House 17, Nelson House 170, and Opaskwayak Cree Nation 21E.
- Ontario: Overall, the population affected is quite low. There were not any communities where over 5% of their population was at-risk. The top five “on-reserve” areas with the greatest population are Wikwemikong Unceded, Garden River 14, Sagamok, Kitchenuhmaykoosib Aaki 84 (Big Trout Lake), and Kettle Point 44.
- Quebec: Communities that had more than 5% of their population at-risk are Pessamit and Mistissini. , The top 5 “on-reserve” areas with the greatest population are Chisasibi, Waskaganish, Manawan, Obedjiwan, and Waswanipi.
- New Brunswick: Overall, the population affected is quite low. There were not any communities where over 5% of their population was at-risk. The top 5 “on-reserve” areas with the greatest population are Richibucto 15, Burnt Church 14, Tobique 20, Devon 30, and Kingsclear 6.
- Nova Scotia: Overall, the population affected is quite low. There were not any communities where over 5% of their population was at-risk. The top 5 “on-reserve” areas with the greatest population are Eskasoni 3, Indian Brook 14, Membertou 28B, Whycocomagh 2, and Millbrook 27.
- Prince Edward Island: Overall, the population affected is quite low. There were not any communities where over 5% of their population was at-risk.
- Newfoundland and Labrador: Overall, the population affected is quite low. The only community where over 5% of their population is affected is Happy Valley-Goose Bay.
- Northwest Territories and Yukon: Overall, the “off-reserve” population affected is quite low. The communities of Inuvik and Yellowknife had over 5% of their populations affected. While all populated “on-reserve” communities should be visited, the top community is Hay River Dene 1.
- Yukon: Overall, the “off-reserve” population affected is quite low. Whitehorse has over 5% of their population affected.
- Nunavut: Based on the definition of aboriginal communities from Statistics Canada, there were not any communities deemed at-risk.

HomeSafe provides an effective model that can be easily adopted by fire services in other communities to better achieve their mandate of reducing fires and saving lives. However, many communities may lack the analytical resources required to identify their high-risk locations. As such, the purpose of this report is to highlight communities within Canada that are at the highest risk for residential fires based on the risk factors identified by research and adopted by the HomeSafe program in Surrey, B.C.

Background

In 2007, the Canadian Mortgage and Housing Corporation (CMHC) released a report on “Fire Prevention in Aboriginal Communities”. Within the paper it was noted that the “First Nations per capita fire incidence rate is 2.4 times the per capita rate for the rest of Canada. The death rate is 10.4 times greater; the fire injury rate is 2.5 times greater; and the fire damage per unit is 2.1 times greater” (CMHC, 2007, p.1). These statistics alone identify Aboriginal¹ communities as being at a greater risk for fires². It was also noted in the report that “many Aboriginal communities tend to have a low number of smoke detectors”. These figures are concerning and represent a call to action.

Reducing fires and saving lives is the core mandate for all fire services. Globally, many fire departments have implemented door-to-door campaigns to educate their citizens on fire reduction and safety (TriData, 2009). However, focusing on an entire community is expensive, time consuming, and overall, an inefficient use of limited resources. A 2007 TriData report on best practices in residential fire safety in England, Scotland, Sweden, and Norway noted that “of all the best practices identified in this study, one stands out. To reduce fire casualties in the home, the British fire service is *visiting large number of high-risk households* [emphasis added] to do fire safety inspections and risk reductions, especially to ensure they have a working smoke detector” (TriData, 2007, p.vi). Similarly, in the publication, the *Reduced Frequency and Severity of Residential Fires Following Delivery of Fire Prevention Education by On-Duty Fire Fighters: Cluster Randomized Controlled Study* Clare, Garis, Plecas, and Jennings (2012) reviewed best practices from other countries on residential fire safety and concluded that “targeted home visits have produced promising results examining a range of outcome measures, from reduction in rates of fires and fire-related casualty through to increased presence of working smoke alarms when residences were audited” (Clare, Plecas, Garis, Jennings, 2012, p.123).

Research has substantiated that certain groups are at an elevated risk of experiencing fires. In particular, children under the age of 6, adults over the age of 64 (e.g. Jennings, 1996; LeBlanc et al., 2006; Scholer, Hickson, Mitchel & Ray, 1998; U.S. Fire Administration, 1997, 2004), and those living in socio-economic disadvantage (e.g., Jennings, 1999; Schaenman et al., 1990; Shaw, McCormick, Kustra, Ruddy & Casey, 1988; U.S. Fire Administration, 1997, 2004) are the populations most at-risk for experiencing a residential fire. Residential fires also account for the vast majority of fire

¹ Within this paper, the term “Aboriginal” is an all-encompassing term used to reference First Nations, Métis, and Inuit people.

²As with the rest of Canada, Aboriginal communities are not homogeneous. While some face inordinately high fire risks, others do not. Using a rating method developed for the general population, this report identifies communities in Canada that are likely to experience the greatest risk of a fire incident. By communities, the authors are referring both to Aboriginal or “on reserve” communities, and to “off reserve” communities that have a high proportion of residents of Aboriginal origin.

fatalities (Chien & Wu, 2008), typically as a result of smoke inhalation or carbon monoxide poisoning (Miller, 2005). Young children and older adults are also at higher risk of dying from a residential fire due to their inability to hear and/or respond to a smoke alarm (Marshall, Runyan, Bangdiwala, Linzer, Sacks, & Butts, 1998). Residents of low socio-economic areas are also at greater risk, primarily due to their tendency not to have a working smoke alarm in the home (Miller, 2005; Duncanson, Woodward, & Reid, 2002). Within in this paper, Aboriginal “on-reserve” locations are being considered at-risk until research states otherwise.

Aware of these relative risk levels, the Surrey Fire Services (British Columbia, Canada) engaged in an evidence-based smoke alarm distribution campaign. Known as the HomeSafe program, it targeted high-risk locations in the city identified in an analysis of 20 years of municipal fire incidence data (McCormick, 2009). The program mandate was to ensure that all homes had working smoke alarms in order to reduce the number of residential fires, as well as fire-related injuries and deaths within the community. To achieve this, firefighters conducted door-to-door visits with all addresses in the identified zones. They distributed fire safety education materials which included information on high-risk groups, and identified the leading causes of residential fires in the city (i.e., cooking and non-smoking related open flame fires, such as candles or matches; McCormick, 2009). They also asked residents about the presence of working smoke alarms, and offered to install smoke alarms free of charge if one was not present in the home (Clare et al., 2012). They left educational material for those not at home to read and inform themselves on fire safety. Over the course of one week, 18,473 residential dwellings in seven high-risk zones were visited by fire services personnel.

To review the effect of this distribution method, Clare et al (2012) conducted an experimental study measuring the outcomes in the high-risk zones receiving the targeted outreach compared to a randomized control sample of equally high-risk areas that had not received the targeted outreach. The specific analysis conducted to identify the high-risk population for the City of Surrey is summarized as follows:

First, the specific addresses of all relevant types of residential fires that had occurred in the city since late 2006 were mapped, and high-density areas were identified. In addition to this, Census information was used to identify areas of the city that would be expected to have an elevated likelihood of experiencing fires. This use of Census data built on research evidence that demonstrates an elevated risk of experiencing fire as a function of individual characteristics. As a result, areas of interest were identified if they had a proportionally high representation of: (a) children under 6 years, (b) adults aged over 64, (c) single parent families, (d) high-residential mobility residents, (e) unemployed residents. (Clare, et al., 2012, p.125)

The authors compared statistically the rate of residential fire incidents occurring two years pre-intervention against the rate of residential fire incidents two years post-intervention occurring in

the experimental and control locations. Where the control locations experienced a 15% reduction in residential fires over time, the experimental locations experienced a 64% reduction. In addition, the length of time between fires increased by only 4 days in the control locations, versus 193 days in the experimental locations (Clare et al., 2012). In other words, the evidence-based fire education and smoke alarm distribution method that targeted high-risk locations reduced both statistically and substantially the rate of residential fires in high-risk jurisdictions and increased the length of time between fires. This study provided definitive evidence for the increased efficiency and effectiveness of using local fire data to guide education and distribution campaigns.


As of April 2016, Surrey Fire Services has made contact with approximately 40,000 residents through the HomeSafe program and have installed over 1,000 smoke alarms in homes. The program has been an overwhelming success. Working smoke alarms have increased from 16% in 2008 to 59% in 2015. Casualty rates (injuries and fatalities combined) saw a 65% reduction and fire rates were reduced by 47% between the years 2006 and 2015. HomeSafe provides an effective model that can be easily adopted by fire services in other communities to better achieve their mandate of reducing fires and saving lives. However, many communities may lack the analytical resources required to identify their high-risk locations. As such, the purpose of this report is to highlight communities within Canada that are at the highest risk for residential fires based on the risk factors identified by research and adopted by the HomeSafe program in Surrey, B.C.

Summary of Canada

Using the 2011 Statistics Canada Census and National Household Survey, the HomeSafe criteria – residents over age 65 and under age 6, lone parent families, frequent movers, and the unemployed – was evaluated to determine the top 10th percentile of areas within municipalities that would be at most risk for fires to occur in their home. The actual geographical units we analysed are what Statistics Canada calls “Dissemination Areas.” Briefly, “a dissemination area (DA) is a small, relatively stable geographic unit composed of one or more adjacent dissemination blocks. It is the smallest standard geographic area for which all census data are disseminated. DAs cover all the territory of Canada” (Statistics Canada, 2012). Groups of Dissemination Areas are often grouped to form Census Sub-Divisions (CSDs) that often more closely align with municipal boundaries.

The majority of these high-risk areas were found in the more populated centres of the province. The primary focus of the HomeSafe initiative is on single-family detached dwellings. Residences such as condominiums, townhouse complexes, and apartments, which are regularly inspected through mandated annual inspections are excluded from the program. Figure 1 details the process flow to calculate the values (Dissemination Area counts and sums for single detached dwellings and population) for at risk areas and total values for the province.

FIGURE 1: PROCESS FLOW FOR DATA ANALYSIS & CALCULATIONS



Based on the selection process shown above, the totals for on-reserve and off-reserve Aboriginal Areas in Canada were:

- Total number of Dissemination Areas in Canada is 56,204
- Total number of private single detached dwellings is 7,306,150 (includes non-Aboriginal housing counts)
- Total population in private single detached dwellings is 19,342,651 (includes non-Aboriginal population)
- Total number of at-risk Dissemination Areas is 3,782
- Total number of at-risk private single detached dwellings is 673,925 (includes non-Aboriginal housing counts)
- Total population in at-risk private dwellings is 368,651 (includes non-Aboriginal population).
- The percentage of at-risk Dissemination Areas is 6.73%

- The percentage of at-risk private single detached dwellings is 9.22% (includes non-Aboriginal housing counts)
- The percentage of at-risk population in at-risk private single detached dwellings is 1.90%.

Tables 1-3 provide a Provincial and Territorial summary of at-risk “off-reserve”, “on-reserve”, and “on and off reserve” populations. The Tables provide information for three main categories of interest to fire services. First, the number of at-risk Dissemination Areas (DA’s; which represent populations of between 400-700 persons) and the total number of Dissemination Areas within the Province are compared to produce the percent of at-risk Dissemination Areas. Second, the number of single detached dwellings in at-risk areas and the total number of single detached dwellings in the province are compared to produce the percent of at-risk single detached dwelling. Third, the total Aboriginal population in private dwellings (this would include townhouses and condominiums) and the estimated at-risk population are compared to produce the percent of population at-risk. It is to be noted that the population in private dwellings is inflated as it accounts for populations living in townhouse and condominiums, and is not specific to single detached dwellings.

Table 3 (“on and off-reserve” areas) indicates that approximately 2% of the Aboriginal population in Canada is at a heightened risk of residential fires. The areas shown on the Provincial and Territorial maps could benefit from the adoption of targeted fire safety public education combined with smoke alarm distribution campaigns. These areas contain large numbers of residents meeting one or more criteria for elevated residential fire risk. However, this level of analysis is still too broad to be of much benefit in a targeted campaign. Therefore, the subsequent sections of the report identify the high at-risk Aboriginal populations at a municipal level.

An important caveat to note is that the data collected for the 2011 National Household Survey was completely voluntary. Information was suppressed if the global non-response rate to the National Household Survey was greater than 50% or greater than 25% for the Census of Population (Statistics Canada, 2016). The results presented below are intended to highlight an estimate of households and population that are at-risk for residential fires. However, a more thorough analysis using local planning data (zoning and addressing), municipal distribution of residential structure fires over a five-year period, and identification of the at-risk areas using census data would refine the at-risk properties for each particular jurisdiction.

TABLE 1: PROVINCIAL/TERRITORIAL DISTRIBUTION OF THE PERCENTAGE OF “OFF-RESERVE” DISSEMINATION AREAS, PRIVATE SINGLE DETACHED DWELLINGS, AND POPULATION AT-RISK FOR RESIDENTIAL FIRES

Community	# of At-risk DA's	Total DA's in Province or Territory	% of At-risk DA's	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's*	Total Private Single Detached Dwellings in Province or Territory*	% of At-risk Private Single Detached Dwellings*	Aboriginal Population of At-risk DA's	Single Detached Dwelling Population Total of Province or Territory*	% of At-risk Population
Alberta	237	5,711	4.15%	104,320	881,220	11.84%	26,073	2,421,457	1.08%
British Columbia	327	7,582	4.31%	69,660	839,230	8.30%	22,826	2,213,550	1.03%
Manitoba	72	2,179	3.30%	17,345	319,985	5.42%	12,112	856,403	1.41%
New Brunswick	55	1,454	3.78%	16,965	220,030	7.71%	1,509	532,982	0.28%
Newfoundland and Labrador	37	1,071	3.45%	12,490	155,165	8.05%	2,421	382,811	0.63%
Northwest Territories	3	98	3.06%	285	8,520	3.35%	1,106	24,652	4.49%
Nova Scotia	84	1,645	5.11%	23,565	260,400	9.05%	2,693	631,639	0.43%
Nunavut	0	50	0.00%	0	4,325	0.00%	0	16,689	0.00%
Ontario	773	19,964	3.87%	213,195	2,712,000	7.86%	27,511	7,488,061	0.37%
Prince Edward Island	9	293	3.07%	2,210	40,370	5.47%	109	102,148	0.11%
Quebec	503	13,622	3.69%	127,000	1,558,380	8.15%	11,885	3,892,660	0.31%
Saskatchewan	47	2,467	1.91%	14,880	297,550	5.00%	7,759	757,778	1.02%
Yukon	2	68	2.94%	1,055	8,975	11.75%	681	21,821	3.12%
Total	2,149	56,204	3.82%	602,970	7,306,150	8.25%	116,685	19,342,651	0.60%

*Areas also include Non-Aboriginal counts

TABLE 2: PROVINCIAL/TERRITORIAL DISTRIBUTION OF THE PERCENTAGE OF “ON-RESERVE” DISSEMINATION AREAS, PRIVATE SINGLE DETACHED DWELLINGS, AND POPULATION AT-RISK FOR RESIDENTIAL FIRES

Community	# of At-risk DA's	Total DA's in Manitoba	% of At-risk DA's	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's	Total Private Single Detached Dwellings in Manitoba	% of At-risk Private Single Detached Dwellings	Aboriginal Population of At-risk DA's	Single Detached Dwelling Population Total of Manitoba	% of At-risk Population
Alberta	150	5,711	2.63%	9,385	881,220	1.07%	41,769	2,421,457	1.72%
British Columbia	534	7,582	7.04%	18,375	839,230	2.19%	42,685	2,213,550	1.93%
Manitoba	148	2,179	6.79%	11,255	319,985	3.52%	51,136	856,403	5.97%
New Brunswick	34	1,454	2.34%	2,495	220,030	1.13%	7,261	532,982	1.36%
Newfoundland and Labrador	5	1,071	0.47%	760	155,165	0.49%	2,970	382,811	0.78%
Northwest Territories	2	98	2.04%	85	8,520	1.00%	280	24,652	1.14%
Nova Scotia	40	1,645	2.43%	2,210	260,400	0.85%	7,966	631,639	1.26%
Nunavut	0	50	0.00%	0	4,325	0.00%	0	16,689	0.00%
Ontario	281	19,964	1.41%	11,460	2,712,000	0.42%	27,772	7,488,061	0.37%
Prince Edward Island	5	293	1.71%	170	40,370	0.42%	390	102,148	0.38%
Quebec	120	13,622	0.88%	6,755	1,558,380	0.43%	32,011	3,892,660	0.82%
Saskatchewan	309	2,467	12.53%	8,005	297,550	2.69%	37,726	757,778	4.98%
Yukon	5	68	7.35%	0	8,975	0.00%	0	21,821	0.00%
Total	1633	56,204	2.91%	70,955	7,306,150	0.97%	251,966	19,342,651	1.30%

*Areas also include Non-Aboriginal counts

TABLE 3: PROVINCIAL/TERRITORIAL DISTRIBUTION OF THE PERCENTAGE OF “ON AND OFF-RESERVE” DISSEMINATION AREAS, PRIVATE SINGLE DETACHED DWELLINGS, AND POPULATION AT-RISK FOR RESIDENTIAL FIRES

Community	# of At-risk DA's	Total DA's in Province or Territory	% of At-risk DA's	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's*	Total Private Single Detached Dwellings in Province or Territory*	% of At-risk Private Single Detached Dwellings*	Aboriginal Population of At-risk DA's	Single Detached Dwelling Population Total of Province or Territory*	% of At-risk Population
Alberta	387	5,711	6.78%	113,705	881,220	12.90%	67,842	2,421,457	2.80%
British Columbia	861	7,582	11.36%	87,430	839,230	10.49%	65,511	2,213,550	2.96%
Manitoba	220	2,179	10.10%	28,600	319,985	8.94%	63,248	856,403	7.39%
New Brunswick	89	1,454	6.12%	19,460	220,030	8.84%	8,770	532,982	1.65%
Newfoundland and Labrador	42	1,071	3.92%	13,250	155,165	8.54%	5,391	382,811	1.41%
Northwest Territories	5	98	5.10%	370	8,520	4.34%	1,386	24,652	5.62%
Nova Scotia	124	1,645	7.54%	25,775	260,400	9.90%	10,659	631,639	1.69%
Nunavut	0	50	0.00%	0	4,325	0.00%	0	16,689	0.00%
Ontario	1,054	19,964	5.28%	224,655	2,712,000	8.28%	55,283	7,488,061	0.74%
Prince Edward Island	14	293	4.78%	2,380	40,370	5.90%	499	102,148	0.49%
Quebec	623	13,622	4.57%	133,755	1,558,380	8.58%	43,896	3,892,660	1.13%
Saskatchewan	356	2,467	14.43%	22,885	297,550	7.69%	45,485	757,778	6.00%
Yukon	7	68	10.29%	1,055	8,975	11.75%	681	21,821	3.12%
Total	3,782	56,204	6.73%	673,925	7,306,150	9.22%	368,651	19,342,651	1.90%

*Areas also include Non-Aboriginal counts

Provincial/Territorial Review

To determine the at-risk Aboriginal areas within the Provinces and Territories of Canada, the following approach was applied.

1. As there is limited information available from the 2011 Census and for the 2011 National Household Survey for Dissemination Areas deemed “on-reserve”, these areas are included as well as any Dissemination Areas that had a Census Subdivision type of:
 - a. Indian Reserve (IRI);
 - b. Indian Settlement (S-É);
 - c. Indian Government District (IGD);
 - d. Terres réservée aux Cris (TC);
 - e. Terres réservée aux Naskapis (TK); or
 - f. Nisga’a Land (NL).

As well, Dissemination Areas were included that had a higher proportion of Aboriginal population (top 10th percentile) in its associated Census Subdivision.

2. Of those off-reserve Dissemination Areas that had any (one or more) of the at-risk HomeSafe criteria were then selected (which includes populations of)
 - a. Over 65;
 - b. Under 6;
 - c. High mobility (movers);
 - d. Unemployed; and
 - e. Lone-parent families.

Again for these risk factors, it is the top 10th percentile of Dissemination Areas that fall into the Census Subdivision that are included.

For example, the Census Subdivision “Winnipeg” consists of 1,118 Dissemination Areas. Using the method detailed above, there are 13 Dissemination Areas that fall within the top 10th percentile of Aboriginal population for Winnipeg, but do not fall within the top 10th percentile of HomeSafe criteria; therefore, these areas would not be considered at-risk. However, there are 41 Dissemination Areas within Winnipeg consisting of the top 10th percentile of Aboriginal population that do include one or more of the HomeSafe risk factors. As these areas fall into one or more of the HomeSafe risk factors. These areas should be considered at-risk, and campaign efforts should be focused on them.


In the following section a Provincial map and detailed analysis of the communities that meet any of the HomeSafe criteria for the Province is provided. Again, the HomeSafe criteria used to identify these populations in the 2011 Census and 2011 National Household Survey were:

- Age Over 65;
- Age Under 6;
- Lone Parent;
- Movers (reside at current residence for less than a year); and
- Unemployed.

As well, a table summarizing the following information for each at-risk community in the province is provided:


- Number of at-risk Dissemination Areas;
- Total number of Dissemination Areas in the community;
- Percent of at-risk Dissemination Areas in the community;
- Number of private single detached dwellings in at-risk Dissemination Areas;
- Total number of private single detached dwellings in Dissemination Areas in the community;
- Percent of private single detached dwellings in at-risk Dissemination Areas in the community;
- Aboriginal population in at-risk Dissemination Areas;
- Total population in Dissemination Areas for the community; and
- Percent of the population that resides in at-risk Dissemination Areas in the community.

BRITISH COLUMBIA – MAP OF DISSEMINATION AREAS IN TOP 10TH PERCENTILE OF HOMESAFE CRITERIA




Overall, the population affected is quite low. “Off-reserve” communities that had more than 5% of their population at-risk are Prince Rupert, Port Hardy, Williams Lake and Chase (Appendix A). While all populated “on-reserve” communities should be visited, the top 5 “on-reserve” areas with the greatest population are Cowichan, Mount Currie, Bella Bella 1, Bella Coola 1 and Capilano 5 (Appendix B).

ALBERTA – MAP OF DISSEMINATION AREAS IN TOP 10TH PERCENTILE OF HOMESAFE CRITERIA




Overall, the population affected is quite low. “Off-reserve” communities that had more than 20% of their population at-risk are Samson 137, High Level, Blood and 148. These areas are also “on-reserve” locations but also meet one or more of the HomeSafe criteria. Areas that had over 5% of their population at risk include Wood Buffalo, Smoky Lake County, Big Lakes and Slave Lake (Appendix C). While all populated “on-reserve” communities should be visited, the top 5 “on-reserve” areas with the greatest population are Blood 148, Stoney 142, 143, 144, Samson 137, Fox Lake 162 and Ermineskin 138 (Appendix D).

SASKATCHEWAN – MAP OF DISSEMINATION AREAS IN TOP 10TH PERCENTILE OF HOMESAFE CRITERIA




Overall, the “off-reserve” population affected is quite low. There were not any communities where over 5% of their population was at-risk (Appendix E). While all populated “on-reserve” communities should be visited, the top 5 “on-reserve” areas with the greatest population are Seekaskootch 19, Lac La Ronge 156, Big River 118, Beady’s 97 and Okemasis 96, and Lac La Hache 2009 (Appendix F).

MANITOBA – MAP OF DISSEMINATION AREAS IN TOP 10TH PERCENTILE OF HOMESAFE CRITERIA




Overall, the “off-reserve” population affected is quite low. Communities that had more than 5% of their population at-risk are Norway House 17, Peguis 1B, and Thompson (Appendix G). While all populated “on-reserve” communities should be visited, the top 5 “on-reserve” areas with the greatest population are St. Theresa Point, Garden Hill First Nation, Norway House 17, Nelson House 170 and Opaskwayak Cree Nation 21E (Appendix H).

ONTARIO – MAP OF DISSEMINATION AREAS IN TOP 10TH PERCENTILE OF HOMESAFE CRITERIA




Overall, the “off-reserve” population affected is quite low. There were not any communities where over 5% of their population was at-risk (Appendix I). While all populated “on-reserve” communities should be visited, the top 5 “on-reserve” areas with the greatest population are Wikwemikong Unceded, Garden River 14, Sagamok, Kitchenuhmaykoosib Aaki 84 (Big Trout Lake), and Kettle Point 44 (Appendix J).

QUEBEC – MAP OF DISSEMINATION AREAS IN TOP 10TH PERCENTILE OF HOMESAFE CRITERIA




Overall, the “off-reserve” population affected is quite low. Communities that had more than 5% of their population at-risk are Pessamit and Mistissini (Appendix K). While all populated “on-reserve” communities should be visited, the top 5 “on-reserve” areas with the greatest population are Chisasibi, Waskaganish, Manawan, Obedjiwan, and Waswanipi (Appendix L).

NEW BRUNSWICK – MAP OF DISSEMINATION AREAS IN TOP 10TH PERCENTILE OF HOMESAFE CRITERIA




Overall, the “off-reserve” population affected is quite low. There were not any communities where over 5% of their population was at-risk (Appendix M). While all populated “on-reserve” communities should be visited, the top 5 “on-reserve” areas with the greatest population are Richibucto 15, Burnt Church 14, Tobique 20, Devon 30, and Kingsclear 6 (Appendix N).

NOVA SCOTIA – MAP OF DISSEMINATION AREAS IN TOP 10TH PERCENTILE OF HOMESAFE CRITERIA




Overall, the “off-reserve” population affected is quite low. There were not any communities where over 5% of their population was at-risk (Appendix O). While all populated “on-reserve” communities should be visited, the top 5 “on-reserve” areas with the greatest population are Eskasoni 3, Indian Brook 14, Membertou 28B, Whycocomagh 2, and Millbrook 27 (Appendix P).

PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND – MAP OF DISSEMINATION AREAS IN TOP 10TH PERCENTILE OF HOMESAFE CRITERIA




Overall, the “off-reserve” population affected is quite low. There were not any communities where over 5% of their population was at-risk (Appendix Q). While all populated “on-reserve” communities should be visited, the top 3 “on-reserve” areas with the greatest population are Lennox Island 1, Rocky Point 3 and Scotchfort 4 (Appendix R).

NEWFOUNDLAND & LABRADOR – MAP OF DISSEMINATION AREAS IN TOP 10TH PERCENTILE OF HOMESAFE CRITERIA




Overall, the “off-reserve” population affected is quite low. The only community where over 5% of their population is affected is Happy Valley-Goose Bay. While all populated “on-reserve” communities should be visited, the top 3 “on-reserve” areas with the greatest population are Natuashish 2, Samiajij Miawpukek, and Sheshatshiu 3.

NORTHWEST TERRITORIES – MAP OF DISSEMINATION AREAS IN TOP 10TH PERCENTILE OF HOMESAFE CRITERIA



Overall, the “off-reserve” population affected is quite low. The communities of Inuvik and Yellowknife had over 5% of their populations affected. While all populated “on-reserve” communities should be visited, the top community is Hay River Dene 1.

YUKON – MAP OF DISSEMINATION AREAS IN TOP 10TH PERCENTILE OF HOMESAFE CRITERIA



Overall, the “off-reserve” population affected is quite low. Whitehorse has over 5% of their population affected.

Conclusion

This study underscores the importance of examining Canadian fire trends at a local level. It is estimated that within Canada there are approximately 602,970 private single detached dwellings containing about 117,000 people that are at a heightened risk of having a fire in their home. Having firefighters go door-to-door promoting fire risk reduction and safety, as well as conducting smoke alarm testing and installation has been a proven method. However, it is essential that Canadian fire services examine their local fire trends before undertaking public education and/or smoke alarm distribution campaigns.

The research outlined in this study identified the relative risk levels of communities across Canada. However, it is important that fire services not only conduct Home Safe analysis at a localized level but also consider historical residential fire data when deciding where to deploy their resources for maximum effect. In addition, it is vitally important to evaluate the successes of all interventions and campaigns, adjusting treatment strategies where necessary. Fires will occur, it is impossible to guarantee zero risk, but it remains a worthy objective. Efforts to reduce dwelling fires and fire-related injuries and fatalities will invariably reach more Canadians by using localized, data-driven approaches.

Appendices

APPENDIX A: BRITISH COLUMBIA – AT-RISK “OFF-RESERVE” COMMUNITY DETAILS

Community	# of At-risk DA's	Total DA's	% of At-risk DA's	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's*	Total Private Single Detached Dwellings*	% of At-risk Private Single Detached Dwellings*	Aboriginal Population in At-risk DA's	Single Detached Dwelling Population Total*	% of At-risk Population
Abbotsford	11	193	5.70%	1,715	20,290	8.45%	542	63,241	0.86%
Alberni-Clayoquot E	1	6	16.67%	220	1,045	21.05%	48	2,503	1.92%
Armstrong	1	8	12.50%	230	1,430	16.08%	66	3,401	1.94%
Bulkley-Nechako A	1	12	8.33%	275	1,780	15.45%	62	4,808	1.29%
Burnaby	13	321	4.05%	300	21,340	1.41%	495	63,276	0.78%
Campbell River	3	61	4.92%	1,265	8,555	14.79%	331	20,446	1.62%
Capital F	1	11	9.09%	1,070	3,915	27.33%	59	8,390	0.70%
Capital H (Part 1)	1	9	11.11%	330	1,575	20.95%	18	3,691	0.49%
Cariboo A	1	13	7.69%	240	1,990	12.06%	97	4,952	1.96%
Cariboo D	1	6	16.67%	210	925	22.70%	86	2,256	3.81%
Cariboo G	1	10	10.00%	285	1,885	15.12%	54	4,354	1.24%
Cariboo L	1	8	12.50%	290	1,720	16.86%	33	3,780	0.87%
Castlegar	1	15	6.67%	260	2,355	11.04%	36	5,404	0.67%
Central Kootenay B	1	8	12.50%	295	1,515	19.47%	36	3,805	0.95%
Central Kootenay E	1	7	14.29%	220	1,340	16.42%	21	3,047	0.69%

Community	# of At-risk DA's	Total DA's	% of At-risk DA's	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's*	Total Private Single Detached Dwellings*	% of At-risk Private Single Detached Dwellings*	Aboriginal Population in At-risk DA's	Single Detached Dwelling Population Total*	% of At-risk Population
Central Kootenay F	1	8	12.50%	280	1,385	20.22%	43	3,269	1.32%
Central Kootenay J	1	6	16.67%	415	1,135	36.56%	51	2,723	1.87%
Central Saanich	2	28	7.14%	575	3,900	14.74%	255	9,668	2.64%
Chase	1	6	16.67%	280	730	38.36%	95	1,589	5.98%
Chilliwack	8	125	6.40%	2,815	17,805	15.81%	1,103	48,996	2.25%
Coldstream	2	19	10.53%	955	3,205	29.80%	152	8,781	1.73%
Columbia-Shuswap C	1	13	7.69%	235	3,005	7.82%	31	6,748	0.46%
Columbia-Shuswap D	1	8	12.50%	225	1,365	16.48%	34	3,338	1.02%
Colwood	2	23	8.70%	670	3,370	19.88%	108	8,892	1.21%
Comox	2	24	8.33%	750	4,035	18.59%	82	9,380	0.87%
Comox Valley A	1	12	8.33%	370	3,000	12.33%	37	6,393	0.58%
Coquitlam	7	184	3.80%	1,525	20,025	7.62%	284	60,935	0.47%
Courtenay	3	44	6.82%	850	5,640	15.07%	181	12,679	1.43%
Cowichan Valley A	1	7	14.29%	180	1,435	12.54%	38	3,532	1.08%
Cowichan Valley B	2	14	14.29%	580	2,905	19.97%	56	7,553	0.74%
Cowichan	1	9	11.11%	305	1,940	15.72%	43	4,393	0.98%

Community	# of At-risk DA's	Total DA's	% of At-risk DA's	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's*	Total Private Single Detached Dwellings*	% of At-risk Private Single Detached Dwellings*	Aboriginal Population in At-risk DA's	Single Detached Dwelling Population Total*	% of At-risk Population
Valley C									
Cranbrook	4	38	10.53%	1,045	5,355	19.51%	233	13,099	1.78%
Creston	1	10	10.00%	260	1,760	14.77%	36	3,662	0.98%
Dawson Creek	2	22	9.09%	520	3,170	16.40%	225	7,562	2.98%
Delta	6	167	3.59%	1,450	22,145	6.55%	303	65,234	0.46%
East Kootenay C	1	11	9.09%	310	1,985	15.62%	58	5,022	1.16%
Elkford	1	7	14.29%	150	570	26.32%	22	1,413	1.56%
Esquimalt	1	28	3.57%	250	2,035	12.29%	84	4,381	1.92%
Fernie	1	9	11.11%	205	1,285	15.95%	47	2,851	1.65%
Fort St. John	4	34	11.76%	880	4,110	21.41%	532	10,796	4.93%
Fraser Valley E	1	7	14.29%	180	1,205	14.94%	35	2,690	1.30%
Gibsons	1	8	12.50%	140	1,140	12.28%	35	2,410	1.45%
Grand Forks	1	7	14.29%	270	1,385	19.49%	65	2,909	2.23%
Greater Vancouver A	1	18	5.56%	0	420	0.00%	37	1,136	3.26%
Hope	1	13	7.69%	290	1,865	15.55%	68	4,185	1.62%
Invermere	1	6	16.67%	230	785	29.30%	34	1,913	1.78%
Kamloops	8	132	6.06%	2,855	19,675	14.51%	894	50,152	1.78%
Kelowna	8	167	4.79%	3,005	24,835	12.10%	596	63,736	0.94%
Kent	1	10	10.00%	385	1,590	24.21%	69	3,792	1.82%
Kimberley	1	13	7.69%	215	2,385	9.01%	50	5,324	0.94%

Community	# of At-risk DA's	Total DA's	% of At-risk DA's	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's*	Total Private Single Detached Dwellings*	% of At-risk Private Single Detached Dwellings*	Aboriginal Population in At-risk DA's	Single Detached Dwelling Population Total*	% of At-risk Population
Kitimat	1	15	6.67%	400	2,300	17.39%	139	5,330	2.61%
Ladysmith	2	13	15.38%	540	2,485	21.73%	98	5,747	1.71%
Lake Country	1	13	7.69%	555	3,530	15.72%	78	9,048	0.86%
Langford	2	29	6.90%	875	5,220	16.76%	256	13,407	1.91%
Langley	5	162	3.09%	1,315	21,870	6.01%	373	63,945	0.58%
Langley	3	40	7.50%	45	2,825	1.59%	295	7,983	3.70%
Lantzville	1	7	14.29%	245	1,385	17.69%	42	3,416	1.23%
Maple Ridge	5	118	4.24%	1,840	16,620	11.07%	405	48,055	0.84%
Merritt	1	14	7.14%	165	1,865	8.85%	93	4,438	2.10%
Mission	6	70	8.57%	1,615	8,795	18.36%	418	25,196	1.66%
Nanaimo	11	151	7.28%	4,435	21,335	20.79%	886	51,277	1.73%
Nanaimo A	1	14	7.14%	320	2,325	13.76%	45	5,583	0.81%
Nanaimo B	1	7	14.29%	405	1,930	20.98%	20	3,754	0.53%
Nanaimo E	1	11	9.09%	285	2,315	12.31%	27	5,100	0.53%
Nanaimo F	1	11	9.09%	520	2,355	22.08%	96	5,321	1.80%
Nanaimo G	1	13	7.69%	310	2,660	11.65%	25	5,945	0.42%
Nelson	1	20	5.00%	105	2,525	4.16%	34	5,627	0.60%
New Westminster	4	90	4.44%	375	5,575	6.73%	368	15,161	2.43%
North Cowichan	3	51	5.88%	1,155	8,055	14.34%	286	19,417	1.47%

Community	# of At-risk DA's	Total DA's	% of At-risk DA's	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's*	Total Private Single Detached Dwellings*	% of At-risk Private Single Detached Dwellings*	Aboriginal Population in At-risk DA's	Single Detached Dwelling Population Total*	% of At-risk Population
North Okanagan B	1	8	12.50%	300	995	30.15%	43	2,547	1.69%
North Okanagan C	1	7	14.29%	270	1,200	22.50%	39	3,138	1.24%
North Okanagan F	1	8	12.50%	210	1,390	15.11%	48	3,357	1.43%
North Saanich	1	19	5.26%	265	3,655	7.25%	52	8,981	0.58%
North Vancouver	4	133	3.01%	350	17,110	2.05%	176	49,284	0.36%
North Vancouver	4	79	5.06%	130	3,425	3.80%	98	9,176	1.07%
Oak Bay	1	35	2.86%	180	4,930	3.65%	13	11,741	0.11%
Okanagan-Similkameen D	1	11	9.09%	295	2,065	14.29%	13	4,848	0.27%
Parksville	1	21	4.76%	160	3,555	4.50%	31	7,556	0.41%
Peace River C	1	11	9.09%	310	1,825	16.99%	112	4,989	2.24%
Penticton	4	61	6.56%	660	6,965	9.48%	245	15,732	1.56%
Pitt Meadows	2	23	8.70%	665	3,125	21.28%	164	8,861	1.85%
Port Alberni	3	35	8.57%	550	5,635	9.76%	238	12,681	1.88%
Port Coquitlam	4	83	4.82%	815	8,575	9.50%	247	25,291	0.98%
Port Hardy	1	9	11.11%	165	890	18.54%	156	2,080	7.50%
Port Moody	2	40	5.00%	0	4,005	0.00%	153	11,611	1.32%

Community	# of At-risk DA's	Total DA's	% of At-risk DA's	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's*	Total Private Single Detached Dwellings*	% of At-risk Private Single Detached Dwellings*	Aboriginal Population in At-risk DA's	Single Detached Dwelling Population Total*	% of At-risk Population
Powell River	1	26	3.85%	250	4,405	5.68%	38	9,696	0.39%
Prince George	7	135	5.19%	2,290	18,060	12.68%	1,075	45,423	2.37%
Prince Rupert	2	28	7.14%	160	2,880	5.56%	544	7,210	7.55%
Princeton	1	7	14.29%	250	985	25.38%	67	2,010	3.33%
Qualicum Beach	1	17	5.88%	95	3,315	2.87%	18	6,662	0.27%
Quesnel	2	19	10.53%	270	2,665	10.13%	234	6,289	3.72%
Revelstoke	1	15	6.67%	145	2,000	7.25%	40	4,647	0.86%
Richmond	7	247	2.83%	605	25,280	2.39%	312	79,552	0.39%
Saanich	9	190	4.74%	1,115	22,845	4.88%	327	56,818	0.58%
Salmon Arm	3	30	10.00%	910	5,030	18.09%	173	12,038	1.44%
Sechelt	1	17	5.88%	595	3,465	17.17%	47	7,432	0.63%
Sidney	1	22	4.55%	200	2,095	9.55%	15	4,506	0.33%
Smithers	1	12	8.33%	145	1,405	10.32%	87	3,506	2.48%
Sooke	1	16	6.25%	560	3,190	17.55%	143	8,148	1.76%
Squamish	1	26	3.85%	445	3,215	13.84%	62	8,887	0.70%
Summerland	2	22	9.09%	450	3,465	12.99%	47	8,185	0.57%
Sunshine Coast E	1	7	14.29%	360	1,330	27.07%	43	3,220	1.34%
Surrey	30	592	5.07%	4,525	64,395	7.03%	2,390	204,461	1.17%
Terrace	2	26	7.69%	275	2,915	9.43%	304	7,539	4.03%
Trail	1	12	8.33%	185	2,685	6.89%	46	5,476	0.84%

Community	# of At-risk DA's	Total DA's	% of At-risk DA's	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's*	Total Private Single Detached Dwellings*	% of At-risk Private Single Detached Dwellings*	Aboriginal Population in At-risk DA's	Single Detached Dwelling Population Total*	% of At-risk Population
Vancouver	23	992	2.32%	95	47,565	0.20%	1,170	138,620	0.84%
Vanderhoof	1	10	10.00%	235	1,165	20.17%	96	2,990	3.21%
Vernon	3	63	4.76%	1,255	8,650	14.51%	289	20,372	1.42%
Victoria	10	138	7.25%	75	6,695	1.12%	454	14,007	3.24%
View Royal	1	12	8.33%	240	1,640	14.63%	129	3,925	3.29%
West Kelowna	3	40	7.50%	830	8,445	9.83%	354	22,433	1.58%
West Vancouver	2	81	2.47%	635	9,825	6.46%	50	27,493	0.18%
Whistler	2	17	11.76%	155	835	18.56%	12	2,090	0.57%
White Rock	2	35	5.71%	185	2,815	6.57%	64	6,502	0.98%
Williams Lake	2	24	8.33%	440	2,160	20.37%	384	5,314	7.23%
Total	327	6,235	5.24%	69,660	694,975	10.02%	22,826	1,869,533	1.22%

* Areas also include Non-Aboriginal counts

APPENDIX B: BRITISH COLUMBIA – AT-RISK “ON-RESERVE” COMMUNITY DETAILS

Community	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's	Aboriginal Population Total
105 Mile Post 2	0	0
Agats Meadow 8	0	0
Ahahswinis 1	40	140
Ahaminaquus 12	0	0
Aitchelitch 9	0	0
Alberni 2	0	0
Albert Flat 5	0	0
Alert Bay	175	510
Alexandria	0	0
Alexis 9	0	0
Alexis Creek 6	0	0
Alexis Creek 14	0	0
Alexis Creek 16	0	0
Alexis Creek 17	0	0
Alexis Creek 21	0	0
Alexis Creek 24	0	0
Alexis Creek 25	0	0
Alexis Creek 34	0	0
Alkali Lake 1	80	345
Alkali Lake 4A	0	0
Anacla 12	40	65
Anahim's Flat 1	95	455
Anahim's Meadow	0	0
Ashcroft 4	25	75
Ashnola 10	25	65
Babine 6	30	85
Babine 17	50	175
Babine 25	25	75
Babine Lake 21B	0	0
Baezaeko River 27	0	0

Community	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's	Aboriginal Population Total
Baptiste Meadow 2	0	0
Barnston Island 3	0	0
Basque 18	0	0
Becher Bay 1	45	25
Bella Bella 1	255	1055
Bella Coola 1	210	845
Betty Creek 18	0	0
Binche 2 (Pinchie 2)	30	105
Blackwater Meadow 11	0	0
Blind Creek 6	0	0
Blueberry River 205	35	205
Bonaparte 3	45	130
Boothroyd 8A (Part)	0	0
Boston Bar 1A	0	0
Bridge River 1	65	225
Bucktum 4	0	0
Bulkley River 19	0	0
Burns Lake 18	20	49
Burrard Inlet 3	70	94
Cahoose 10	0	0
Cahoose 12	0	0
Campbell River 11	135	385
Canim Lake 1	60	220
Canim Lake 2	0	0
Canim Lake 4	0	0
Canoe Creek 1	25	95
Canoe Creek 2	0	0
Canoe Creek 3	0	0
Cape Mudge 10	55	125
Capilano 5	400	840
Cassimayooks (Mayook) 5	0	0
Cayoosh Creek 1	20	55

Community	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's	Aboriginal Population Total
Charley Boy's Meadow 3	0	0
Chawathil 4	45	155
Cheakamus 11	15	60
Cheam 1	65	210
Chehalis 5	140	646
Chekwelp 26	0	0
Chemainus 13	145	670
Chenahkint 12	0	0
Cheslatta 1	0	0
Chilco Lake 1	15	51
Chilco Lake 1A	0	0
Chilhil 6	15	65
Chopaka 7 & 8	0	0
Chuchhraischin	0	0
Chuchuwayha 2	20	70
Chum Creek 2	10	0
Clakamucus 2	0	0
Coglistiko River 29	0	0
Coldwater 1	110	335
Cole Bay 3	60	21
Columbia Lake 3	45	111
Comox 1	65	147
Coquitlam 1	0	0
Coquitlam 2	0	0
Coryatsaqua (Moricetown) 2	35	85
Cowichan	420	2266
Cowichan Lake	0	0
Creston 1	35	110
Dead Point 5	0	0
Dease Lake 9	15	46
Deep Creek 2	40	105
Dog Creek 1	30	80

Community	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's	Aboriginal Population Total
Dog Creek 2	0	0
Doig River 206	30	0
Dolphin Island 1	105	485
Douglas 8	0	0
Douglas Lake 3	0	0
Duck Lake 7	420	0
Duncan Lake 2	0	0
Dzitline Lee 9	0	0
East Moberly Lake 169	85	310
East Saanich 2	220	172
Ehatis 11	10	70
Elhlateese 2	0	0
Enderby 2	90	295
Esowista 3	30	175
Esquimalt	0	0
Est-Patrolas 4	10	0
Euchinico Creek 17	0	0
Fishtrap 19	0	0
Five Mile Point 3	0	0
Fontas 1	0	0
Fort George (Shelley) 2	0	0
Fort Nelson 2	110	415
Fort Rupert 1	15	44
Fort Ware 1	70	250
Fountain 1	40	130
Fountain 1B	15	50
Fountain 3	0	0
Fountain 10	0	0
Fountain 11	0	0
Fountain 12	0	0
Fountain Creek 8	0	0
Francois Lake 7	0	0

Community	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's	Aboriginal Population Total
Galiano Island 9	0	0
Garden	0	0
Gitanmaax 1	205	620
Gitanyow 1	85	0
Gitsegukla 1	105	0
Gitwangak 1	130	495
Good Hope Lake	0	0
Gordon River 2	25	95
Guhthe Tah 12	55	160
Gwayasdums 1	0	0
Hagwilget 1	75	230
Halalt 2	30	160
Halfway River 168	55	160
Halhalaeden	0	0
Hamilton Creek 2	0	0
Harris 3	0	0
Hesquiat 1	0	0
High Bar 1	0	0
Holachten 8	35	110
Homalco 9	50	190
Hope Island 1	0	0
Hopetown 10A	0	0
Houpsitas 6	25	170
Hustalen 1	0	0
Ingenika Point	25	95
Inkahtsaph 6	0	0
Inkluckcheen	30	189
Inklyuhkinatko 2	15	35
Isidore's Ranch 4	0	0
Iskut 6	65	205
Ittatsoo 1	55	225
Jean Baptiste 28	0	0

Community	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's	Aboriginal Population Total
Joeyaska 2	15	45
Johnny Sticks 2	0	0
Kahmoose 4	30	76
Kahntah 3	0	0
Kamloops 1	625	749
Kanaka Bar	0	0
Katit 1	30	55
Katzie 1	60	15
Katzie 2	0	0
Kil-pah-las 3	0	0
Kippase 2	70	200
Kispiox 1	175	525
Kitamaat 2	165	505
Kitasoo 1	80	310
Kitselas 1	75	210
Kitsumkaylum 1	90	0
Kitzowit 20	0	0
Klahkamich 17	15	45
Klahkowitz 5	0	0
Kleetlekt 22	0	0
Klehkoot 2	0	0
Klickkumcheen 18	25	85
Kloklowuck 7	0	0
Kluachon Lake 1	0	0
Kluskus 1	0	0
Kootenay 1	35	90
Kopchitchin 2	0	0
Kowtain 17	0	0
Kshish 4	0	0
Kulkayu (Hartley Bay) 4	0	0
Kulspai 6	25	75
Kumcheen 1	0	0

Community	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's	Aboriginal Population Total
Kuper Island 7	115	435
Kwawkwawapilt 6	195	40
Lakahahmen 11	10	29
Laketown 3	0	0
Langley 5	0	0
Lax Kw'alaams 1	0	0
Lezbye 6	0	0
Liard River 3	20	60
Lillooet 1	60	205
Little Springs	0	0
Lohbiee 3	30	115
Louis Creek 4	0	0
Louis Squinas Ranch 14	0	0
Lower Hat Creek 2	20	50
Lower Post	30	95
Lower Similkameen 2	15	45
Lukseetsissum 9	0	0
Lytton 4A	0	0
Lytton 4E	0	0
Lytton 9A	0	0
Lytton 9B	0	0
Macoah 1	0	0
Malachan 11	40	160
Malahat 11	25	105
Marble Canyon 3	20	60
Marktosis 15	120	720
Masset 1	220	605
Matsqui 4	0	0
Matsqui Main 2	20	75
McCartney's Flat 4	0	0
McLeod Lake 1	40	65
McMillan Island 6	25	3

Community	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's	Aboriginal Population Total
Michel Gardens 36	0	0
Mission 1	110	565
Mission 5	0	0
Mission Lands 17	0	0
Moricietown 1	85	270
Mount Currie	310	1265
Musqueam 2	460	778
Musqueam 4	0	0
Nak'azdli (Necoslíe 1)	160	520
Nanaimo River	60	260
Nanaimo Town 1	105	370
Nanoose	65	14
Nautley (Fort Fraser) 1	60	190
Nazco 20	35	125
Necait 6	0	0
Nedoats 11	0	0
Nekalliston 2	0	0
Nekliptum 1	10	66
Nequatque	0	0
Nesikep 6	0	0
Neskonlith	65	215
Nesuch 3	15	0
New Songhees 1A	195	165
Nickel Palm 4	0	0
Nickeyeah 25	0	0
Nicola Lake 1	50	140
Nicola Mameet 1	175	555
Nicomen 1	0	0
Nisga'a	205	0
Nkaih 10	0	0
Nohomeen 23	0	0
Nooaitch 10	40	120

Community	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's	Aboriginal Population Total
North Bay 5	0	0
North Tacla Lake	55	161
North Thompson 1	85	225
Numukamis 1	0	0
Nuuautin 2	35	120
Oclucje 7	0	0
Ohamil 1	15	70
Okanagan (Part) 1	885	755
Omineca 1	0	0
Opitsat 1	30	165
Oregon Jack Creek 5	0	0
Osoyoos 1	250	360
Oyster Bay 12	20	85
Palling 1	0	0
Papyum 27	0	0
Paquih	0	0
Pashilqua 2	0	0
Paska Island 3	0	0
Paul's Basin 2	0	0
Pavilion 1	20	45
Pemynoos 9	0	0
Penticton 1	505	627
Pentledge 2	0	0
Peq-Paq 22	0	0
Peters 1	0	0
Poison Creek 17A	0	0
Popkum 1	0	0
Portier Pass 5	0	0
Priest's Valley 6	325	65
Prophet River 4	40	120
Puckatholetchin 11	0	0
Puntzi Lake 2	0	0

Community	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's	Aboriginal Population Total
Q'latk	20	85
Quaaout 1	65	170
Quaee 7	30	90
Qualicum	15	50
Quatsino Subdivision 18	60	210
Quesnel 1	20	80
Quinsam 12	60	210
Redstone Flat 1	55	180
Refuge Cove 6	20	70
S1/2 Tsimpsean 2	30	0
Sachsa 4	0	0
Sachteen	0	0
Saddle Rock 9	0	0
Sahhalkum 4	90	305
Salmon River 1	15	31
Salmon River Meadow 7	0	0
Sandy Harry 4	0	0
Schelawat 1	0	0
Schkam 2	25	130
Scotch Creek 4	0	0
Scowlitz 1	0	0
Seabird Island	130	580
Seah 5	0	0
Seaichem 16	0	10
Seaspunkut 4	0	0
Sechelt (Part)	240	415
Semiahmoo	50	3
Seton Lake 5	0	0
Seymour Creek 2	30	3
Seymour Meadows 19	0	0
Shackan 11	25	55
Shawniken 4B	0	0

Community	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's	Aboriginal Population Total
Shingle Point 4	0	0
Shuswap	0	0
Sik-e-dakh 2	55	215
Siska Flat	20	100
Skawahlook 1	0	0
Skeetchestn	85	225
Skidegate 1	290	625
Skins Lake 16A	0	0
Skins Lake 16B	0	0
Skookumchuck 4	20	95
Skowkale	195	210
Skuppah 2A	0	0
Skuppah 4	0	0
Skwah 4	0	210
Skwahla 2	0	0
Skwali 3	0	0
Skway 5	25	95
Skwayaynope 26	0	0
Skweahm 10	135	50
Sliammon 1	265	525
Slosh 1	70	210
Soda Creek 1	0	0
Soowahlie 14	55	180
South Saanich 1	110	146
Sowchea 3	0	0
Spences Bridge	0	0
Speyum 3	0	0
Spintlum Flat 3	0	0
Spuzzum 1	0	0
Squaam 2	0	0
Squaw-hay-one 11	10	40
Squawkum Creek 3	25	100

Community	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's	Aboriginal Population Total
Squiaala	20	66
Squinas 2	45	165
Squirrel Cove 8	0	0
Stawamus 24	20	95
Stellaquo (Stella) 1	65	0
Stone 1	45	205
Stony Creek 1	120	345
Stryen 9	20	75
Stullawheets 8	10	30
Sundayman's Meadow 3	0	0
Swan Lake 3	0	0
Switsemalph	35	105
Switsemalph 3	20	85
Tache 1	105	465
Tacla Lake (Ferry Landing) 9	0	0
Tadinlay 15	0	0
Tahltan 1	0	0
Tanakut 4	0	0
Tatelkus Lake 28	0	0
Tatla West 11	0	0
Tatla't East 2	0	0
Telegraph Creek	0	0
Theik 2	0	0
Thomas Point 5	0	0
Thomas Squinas Ranch 2A	0	0
Tin Wis 11	0	0
Tipella 7	0	0
Tobacco Plains 2	20	46
Toby's Meadow 4	0	0
Toosey 1	35	115
Tork 7	20	45
Towdystan Lake 3	0	0

Community	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's	Aboriginal Population Total
Towinock 2	0	0
Trout Lake Alec 16	0	0
Tsa Xana 18	25	230
Tsahaheh 1	175	560
Tsaukan 12	0	0
Tsawwassen	190	74
Tseatah 2	0	0
Tsinstikeptum 9	1095	820
Tsinstikeptum 10	255	70
T'Sou-ke	70	17
Tsulquate 4	85	480
Tsussie 6	20	90
Tuckkwiowhum 1	0	0
Tzart-Lam 5	0	0
Tzeachten 13	540	260
Tzeti Lake 11	0	0
Ulkatcho 13	0	0
Ulkatcho 14A	45	135
Uncha Lake 13A	0	0
Union Bay 4	20	5
Unnamed 10	0	0
Upper Hat Creek 1	0	0
Upper Nepa 6	0	0
Upper Sumas 6	50	160
Village Island 1	0	0
Waiwakum 14	25	105
West Moberly Lake 168A	0	0
Whispering Pines 4	15	55
Whonnock 1	0	0
Williams Lake 1	60	220
Williams Prairie Meadow 1A	0	0
Windy Mouth 7	0	0

Community	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's	Aboriginal Population Total
Woyenne 27	75	285
Yakweakwioose 12	0	0
Yale Town 1	0	0
Yawaucht 11	0	0
Ye Koo Che 3	25	95
Yekwaupsum 18	0	0
Yuquot 1	0	0
Zacht 5	0	0
Zoht 4	0	0
Total	18,375	42,685

APPENDIX C: ALBERTA – AT-RISK “OFF-RESERVE” COMMUNITY DETAILS (ALSO INCLUDES – “ON-RESERVE” LOCATIONS THAT MET ONE OR MORE HOMESAFE CRTIERIA)

Community	# of At-risk DA's	Total DA's	% of At-risk DA's	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's*	Total Private Single Detached Dwellings*	% of At-risk Private Single Detached Dwellings*	Aboriginal Population in At-risk DA's	Single Detached Dwelling Population Total*	% of At-risk Population
Airdrie	4	49	8.16%	3,130	10,755	29.10%	493	31,311	1.57%
Athabasca County	1	15	6.67%	195	2,455	7.94%	54	6,282	0.86%
Banff	1	14	7.14%	25	410	6.10%	29	1,031	2.81%
Barrhead	1	8	12.50%	295	1,310	22.52%	29	3,047	0.95%
Beaumont	2	15	13.33%	1,095	3,760	29.12%	236	11,473	2.06%
Beaver County	2	11	18.18%	565	1,930	29.27%	55	5,048	1.09%
Big Lakes	1	15	6.67%	210	1,685	12.46%	364	4,625	7.87%
Blackfalds	1	7	14.29%	575	1,660	34.64%	156	4,675	3.34%
Blood 148^	1	10	10.00%	200	1,085	18.43%	893	4,462	20.01%
Bonnyville	1	11	9.09%	260	1,565	16.61%	98	4,138	2.37%
Bonnyville No. 87	2	19	10.53%	480	3,460	13.87%	264	9,772	2.70%
Brazeau County	1	9	11.11%	365	2,030	17.98%	90	5,459	1.65%
Brooks	2	19	10.53%	595	2,835	20.99%	84	7,678	1.09%
Calgary	49	1,594	3.07%	22,785	248,430	9.17%	3,389	707,040	0.48%
Camrose	2	32	6.25%	685	4,795	14.29%	101	11,309	0.89%
Canmore	2	18	11.11%	680	2,470	27.53%	72	5,945	1.21%
Chestermere	1	16	6.25%	620	3,905	15.88%	64	12,584	0.51%

Community	# of At-risk DA's	Total DA's	% of At-risk DA's	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's*	Total Private Single Detached Dwellings*	% of At-risk Private Single Detached Dwellings*	Aboriginal Population in At-risk DA's	Single Detached Dwelling Population Total*	% of At-risk Population
Claresholm	1	7	14.29%	165	1,170	14.10%	19	2,578	0.74%
Clearwater County	1	23	4.35%	210	3,415	6.15%	29	8,855	0.33%
Coaldale	1	10	10.00%	405	2,125	19.06%	46	5,890	0.78%
Cochrane	3	22	13.64%	2,195	4,520	48.56%	234	12,357	1.89%
Cold Lake	2	22	9.09%	780	3,615	21.58%	298	9,825	3.03%
Cypress County	1	11	9.09%	370	2,290	16.16%	34	6,317	0.54%
Devon	1	12	8.33%	390	1,890	20.63%	98	5,216	1.88%
Didsbury	1	8	12.50%	310	1,525	20.33%	30	3,854	0.78%
Drayton Valley	1	10	10.00%	495	1,825	27.12%	59	4,787	1.23%
Drumheller	2	14	14.29%	505	2,455	20.57%	60	5,535	1.08%
Edmonton	41	1,170	3.50%	19,500	165,275	11.80%	4,758	448,195	1.06%
Edson	2	15	13.33%	310	2,025	15.31%	145	5,152	2.81%
Foothills No. 31	1	31	3.23%	905	7,255	12.47%	82	19,888	0.41%
Fort Saskatchewan	2	21	9.52%	1,575	4,790	32.88%	472	12,608	3.74%
Gibbons	1	5	20.00%	240	960	25.00%	46	2,670	1.72%
Grande Cache	1	7	14.29%	160	995	16.08%	119	2,748	4.33%
Grande Prairie	4	69	5.80%	3,095	13,670	22.64%	1,274	37,192	3.43%
Grande Prairie County No. 1	3	31	9.68%	920	5,290	17.39%	308	14,966	2.06%
High Level	1	7	14.29%	250	645	38.76%	489	1,874	26.10%

Community	# of At-risk DA's	Total DA's	% of At-risk DA's	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's*	Total Private Single Detached Dwellings*	% of At-risk Private Single Detached Dwellings*	Aboriginal Population in At-risk DA's	Single Detached Dwelling Population Total*	% of At-risk Population
High River	1	20	5.00%	440	2,760	15.94%	116	6,794	1.71%
Hinton	1	17	5.88%	375	1,845	20.33%	125	4,738	2.64%
Innisfail	1	15	6.67%	200	2,040	9.80%	42	5,083	0.83%
Jasper	1	8	12.50%	15	515	2.91%	13	1,278	1.02%
Kneehill County	1	11	9.09%	145	1,460	9.93%	19	4,001	0.47%
Lac la Biche County	1	16	6.25%	235	2,185	10.76%	189	6,072	3.11%
Lac Ste. Anne County	1	19	5.26%	345	3,320	10.39%	107	8,385	1.28%
Lacombe	2	21	9.52%	645	3,070	21.01%	65	8,156	0.80%
Lamont County	1	8	12.50%	215	1,435	14.98%	11	3,538	0.31%
Leduc	3	27	11.11%	1,950	6,085	32.05%	330	16,644	1.98%
Leduc County	3	23	13.04%	800	4,430	18.06%	86	12,119	0.71%
Lethbridge	8	140	5.71%	2,910	22,175	13.12%	528	55,122	0.96%
Lethbridge County	2	17	11.76%	375	2,645	14.18%	31	8,426	0.37%
Mackenzie County	1	17	5.88%	235	2,290	10.26%	91	8,568	1.06%
Medicine Hat	4	96	4.17%	1,945	16,345	11.90%	313	39,702	0.79%
Minburn County No. 27	1	7	14.29%	95	1,045	9.09%	25	2,620	0.95%
Morinville	1	11	9.09%	490	2,330	21.03%	111	6,520	1.70%
Mountain View County	3	24	12.50%	595	4,095	14.53%	96	10,653	0.90%

Community	# of At-risk DA's	Total DA's	% of At-risk DA's	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's*	Total Private Single Detached Dwellings*	% of At-risk Private Single Detached Dwellings*	Aboriginal Population in At-risk DA's	Single Detached Dwelling Population Total*	% of At-risk Population
Northern Lights County	1	9	11.11%	275	1,185	23.21%	181	3,165	5.72%
Okotoks	2	22	9.09%	2,405	6,510	36.94%	401	19,236	2.08%
Olds	2	15	13.33%	445	2,130	20.89%	77	5,170	1.49%
Parkland County	1	46	2.17%	325	9,630	3.37%	203	27,127	0.75%
Peace River	1	12	8.33%	270	1,615	16.72%	121	4,371	2.77%
Pincher Creek	1	7	14.29%	215	1,170	18.38%	63	2,814	2.24%
Ponoka	1	14	7.14%	240	1,945	12.34%	76	4,633	1.64%
Ponoka County	1	18	5.56%	180	2,675	6.73%	20	7,180	0.28%
Raymond	1	6	16.67%	230	1,060	21.70%	24	3,304	0.73%
Red Deer	5	148	3.38%	2,425	19,760	12.27%	592	52,114	1.14%
Red Deer County	3	37	8.11%	560	5,565	10.06%	70	14,734	0.48%
Redcliff	1	9	11.11%	340	1,735	19.60%	48	4,581	1.05%
Rocky Mountain House	1	12	8.33%	325	1,560	20.83%	73	4,083	1.79%
Rocky View County	1	58	1.72%	565	11,280	5.01%	59	33,809	0.17%
Samson 137^	1	7	14.29%	185	785	23.57%	1,033	3,503	29.49%
Slave Lake	2	13	15.38%	205	1,310	15.65%	470	3,880	12.11%
Smoky Lake County	1	9	11.11%	180	1,220	14.75%	243	3,089	7.87%
Spruce Grove	2	30	6.67%	1,400	6,930	20.20%	431	19,289	2.23%

Community	# of At-risk DA's	Total DA's	% of At-risk DA's	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's*	Total Private Single Detached Dwellings*	% of At-risk Private Single Detached Dwellings*	Aboriginal Population in At-risk DA's	Single Detached Dwelling Population Total*	% of At-risk Population
St. Albert	4	85	4.71%	2,535	16,675	15.20%	335	46,876	0.71%
St. Paul	1	10	10.00%	295	1,575	18.73%	130	3,765	3.45%
St. Paul County No. 19	1	12	8.33%	175	1,960	8.93%	62	5,164	1.20%
Stettler	2	10	20.00%	395	1,585	24.92%	50	3,717	1.35%
Stettler County No. 6	1	11	9.09%	210	1,570	13.38%	20	4,080	0.49%
Stony Plain	1	20	5.00%	510	3,605	14.15%	141	9,497	1.48%
Strathcona County	3	124	2.42%	3,685	27,380	13.46%	675	78,045	0.86%
Strathmore	1	17	5.88%	670	2,970	22.56%	118	8,090	1.46%
Sturgeon County	1	31	3.23%	310	5,750	5.39%	77	16,870	0.46%
Sylvan Lake	1	17	5.88%	835	3,260	25.61%	156	8,818	1.77%
Taber	1	13	7.69%	275	2,265	12.14%	29	5,993	0.48%
Vermilion River County	1	14	7.14%	250	2,615	9.56%	33	7,333	0.45%
Wainwright	1	11	9.09%	295	1,745	16.91%	47	4,215	1.12%
Westlock County	1	14	7.14%	150	2,380	6.30%	55	6,416	0.86%
Wetaskiwin	1	21	4.76%	185	3,020	6.13%	90	7,423	1.21%
Wetaskiwin County No. 10	2	19	10.53%	540	3,615	14.94%	104	9,259	1.12%
Whitecourt	2	16	12.50%	315	1,930	16.32%	295	5,324	5.54%
Wood Buffalo	2	89	2.25%	3,815	11,180	34.12%	1,945	32,899	5.91%

Community	# of At-risk DA's	Total DA's	% of At-risk DA's	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's*	Total Private Single Detached Dwellings*	% of At-risk Private Single Detached Dwellings*	Aboriginal Population in At-risk DA's	Single Detached Dwelling Population Total*	% of At-risk Population
Yellowhead County	2	19	10.53%	555	3,260	17.02%	157	8,246	1.90%
Total	237	4,879	4.86%	104,320	776,750	13.43%	26,073	2,142,893	1.22%

* Areas also include Non-Aboriginal counts

^"On-reserve" dissemination area

APPENDIX D: ALBERTA – AT-RISK “ON-RESERVE” COMMUNITY DETAILS (EXCLUDES “ON-RESERVE” THAT MET ONE OR MORE HOMESAFE CRITERIA)

Community	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's	Aboriginal Population Total
Alexander 134	240	990
Alexis 133	155	796
Allison Bay 219	30	80
Beaver Lake 131	65	410
Beaver Ranch 163	0	0
Big Horn 144A	40	135
Blood 148	885	3746
Blood 148A	0	0
Boyer 164	50	215
Bushe River 207	125	485
Carcajou 187	0	0
Charles Lake 225	0	0
Child Lake 164A	50	175
Chipewyan 201A	0	0
Clear Hills 152C	0	0
Cold Lake 149	155	595
Cold Lake 149A	15	45
Cold Lake 149B	35	150
Desmarais	20	55
Dog Head 218	45	100
Drift Pile River 150	145	770
Duncan's 151A	0	0
Eden Valley 216	105	560
Ermineskin 138	355	1800
Fort Mackay	150	520
Fort McKay 174	0	0
Fort Vermilion 173B	15	95
Fox Lake 162	235	1865
Gregoire Lake 176	50	265
Gregoire Lake 176A	0	0
Hay Lake 209	245	940

Community	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's	Aboriginal Population Total
Heart Lake 167	30	160
Horse Lakes 152B	90	410
Janvier 194	75	285
Jean Baptiste Gambler 183	25	255
John d'Or Prairie 215	140	1110
Kapawe'no First Nation (Freeman 150B)	20	120
Kapawe'no First Nation (Grouard 230)	0	0
Kapawe'no First Nation (Halcro 150C)	0	0
Kapawe'no First Nation (Pakashan 150D)	0	0
Kehewin 123	195	1105
Little Buffalo	60	375
Loon Lake 235	95	490
Louis Bull 138B	240	1295
Makaoo (Part) 120	45	0
Montana 139	150	690
Namur Lake 174B	0	0
Namur River 174A	0	0
O'Chiese 203	75	372
Old Fort 217	0	0
Pigeon Lake 138A	95	475
Piikani 147	325	1209
Puskiakiwenin 122	85	480
Saddle Lake 125	0	0
Samson 137	600	2687
Samson 137A	0	0
Sawridge 150G	10	30
Sawridge 150H	0	0
Siksika 146	475	1612
Stoney 142, 143, 144	660	3420
Stony Plain 135	180	298

Community	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's	Aboriginal Population Total
Sturgeon Lake 154	250	1145
Sturgeon Lake 154A	0	0
Sucker Creek 150A	175	655
Sunchild 202	140	650
Swan River 150E	60	300
Tall Cree 173	30	190
Tall Cree 173A	30	155
Thabacha Nore 196A	0	0
Thebathi 196	0	0
Tsuu T'ina Nation 145 (Sarcee 145)	540	811
Unipouheos 121	140	750
Upper Hay River 212	95	285
Utikoomak Lake 155	90	640
Utikoomak Lake 155A	15	130
Wabamun 133A	205	243
Wabamun 133B	0	0
Wabasca 166	20	150
Wabasca 166A	110	710
Wabasca 166B	40	255
Wabasca 166C	30	180
Wabasca 166D	115	875
White Fish Lake 128	265	1150
Woodland Cree 226	125	695
Woodland Cree 228	30	130
Total	9,385	41,769

APPENDIX E: SASKATCHEWAN – AT-RISK “OFF-RESERVE” COMMUNITY DETAILS

Community	# of At-risk DA's	Total DA's	% of At-risk DA's	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's*	Total Private Single Detached Dwellings*	% of At-risk Private Single Detached Dwellings*	Aboriginal Population in At-risk DA's	Single Detached Dwelling Population Total*	% of At-risk Population
Battleford	1	8	12.50%	220	1,225	17.96%	150	3,032	0.12%
Corman Park No. 344	1	16	6.25%	215	2,725	7.89%	44	8,106	0.18%
Estevan	2	21	9.52%	380	3,140	12.10%	74	7,768	0.16%
Humboldt	1	10	10.00%	245	1,825	13.42%	20	4,221	0.67%
Kindersley	1	9	11.11%	190	1,300	14.62%	18	3,041	0.81%
Martensville	1	9	11.11%	575	2,140	26.87%	100	6,421	0.27%
Meadow Lake	1	9	11.11%	190	1,315	14.45%	313	3,567	0.05%
Moose Jaw	1	63	1.59%	455	10,225	4.45%	80	23,688	0.06%
North Battleford	1	25	4.00%	285	3,960	7.20%	235	9,504	0.03%
Prince Albert	4	68	5.88%	970	9,070	10.69%	1,622	23,900	0.01%
Regina	11	344	3.20%	2,910	53,495	5.44%	1,211	136,801	0.00%
Rosetown	1	5	20.00%	200	840	23.81%	15	1,802	1.59%
Saskatoon	17	362	4.70%	6,345	51,900	12.23%	3,488	136,602	0.00%
Swift Current	1	30	3.33%	260	4,525	5.75%	29	10,462	0.20%
Warman	1	7	14.29%	810	1,885	42.97%	221	5,498	0.19%
Weyburn	1	19	5.26%	290	3,050	9.51%	26	7,246	0.37%
Yorkton	1	31	3.23%	340	4,835	7.03%	113	11,444	0.06%
Total	47	1,036	4.54%	14,880	157,455	9.45%	7,759	403,099	0.00%

* Areas also include Non-Aboriginal counts

APPENDIX F: SASKATCHEWAN – AT-RISK “ON-RESERVE” COMMUNITY DETAILS

Community	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's	Aboriginal Population Total
Ahtahkakoop 104	0	0
Assiniboine 76	0	0
Beardy's 97 and Okemasis 96	270	1285
Beardy's and Okemasis 96 and 97A	0	0
Beardy's and Okemasis 96 and 97B	0	0
Big Island Lake Cree Territory	0	0
Big River 118	365	1630
Brabant Lake	0	0
Buffalo River Dene Nation 193 (Peter Pond Lake 193)	190	745
Canoe Lake 165	0	0
Carrot River 29A	125	815
Chicken 224	170	1050
Chicken 225	0	0
Chief Joseph Custer	0	0
Chitek Lake 191	0	0
Clearwater River Dene 222	135	770
Clearwater River Dene Band 223	0	0
Cote 64	220	750
Cowessess 73	195	655
Cumberland 100A	0	0
Cumberland House Cree Nation 20	210	725
Day Star 87	40	150
Eagles Lake 165C	20	95
Fishing Lake 89	0	0
Fishing Lake 89A	0	0
Flying Dust First Nation 105 (Meadow Lake 105)	110	510
Fond du Lac 227	190	865
Fond du Lac 229	0	0
Fond du Lac 231	0	0
Fond du Lac 232	0	0

Community	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's	Aboriginal Population Total
Four Portages 157C	0	0
Gordon 86	260	1005
Grandmother's Bay 219	85	330
Grizzly Bear's Head 110 and Lean Man 111	0	0
James Smith 100	125	680
Kahkewistahaw 72	135	505
Keeseekoose 66	0	0
Keeseekoose 66A	0	0
Keeseekoose 66-CA-04	0	0
Keeseekoose 66-CA-05	0	0
Keeseekoose 66-CA-06	0	0
Keeseekoose 66-KE-04	0	0
Keeseekoose 66-KE-05	0	0
Kimosom Pwatinahk 203 (Deschambault Lake)	170	1160
Kinistin 91	65	360
Kinoosao-Thomas Clark 204	0	0
Kitsakie 156B	130	825
La Plonge 192	25	115
Lac La Hache 220	180	1250
Lac La Ronge 156	340	1885
Little Black Bear 84	30	155
Little Bone 74B	0	0
Little Hills 158	0	0
Little Hills 158B	0	0
Little Pine 116	0	0
Little Red River 106C	65	260
Little Red River 106D	0	0
Lucky Man	0	0
Makao (Part) 120	0	0
Makwa Lake 129	0	0
Makwa Lake 129B	165	895

Community	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's	Aboriginal Population Total
Makwa Lake 129C	0	0
Meadow Lake 105A	0	0
Min-A-He-Quo-Sis 116C	0	0
Ministikwan 161	0	0
Ministikwan 161A	0	0
Mistawasis 103	165	780
Montreal Lake 106	210	980
Montreal Lake 106B	85	410
Moosomin 112B	0	0
Morin Lake 217	95	725
Mosquito 109	100	475
Muscowpetung 80	0	0
Muskeg Lake 102B	0	0
Muskeg Lake 102D	0	0
Muskeg Lake 102E	0	0
Muskeg Lake 102F	0	0
Muskeg Lake 102G	0	0
Muskeg Lake Cree Nation 102	110	435
Muskoday First Nation	185	680
Muskowekwan 85	160	580
Muskowekwan 85-1	0	0
Muskowekwan 85-10	0	0
Muskowekwan 85-12	0	0
Muskowekwan 85-15	0	0
Muskowekwan 85-17	0	0
Muskowekwan 85-22	0	0
Muskowekwan 85-23	0	0
Muskowekwan 85-24	0	0
Muskowekwan 85-26	0	0
Muskowekwan 85-27	0	0
Muskowekwan 85-28	0	0
Muskowekwan 85-29	0	0

Community	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's	Aboriginal Population Total
Muskowekwan 85-2A	0	0
Muskowekwan 85-31	0	0
Muskowekwan 85-33	0	0
Muskowekwan 85-8	0	0
Nekaneet Cree Nation	30	115
Ocean Man 69	20	160
Ocean Man 69A	0	0
Ocean Man 69B	0	0
Ocean Man 69C	0	0
Ocean Man 69D	0	0
Ocean Man 69E	0	0
Ocean Man 69F	0	0
Ocean Man 69G	0	0
Ocean Man 69H	0	0
Ocean Man 69I	0	0
Ochapowace 71	0	0
Ochapowace 71-10	0	0
Ochapowace 71-18	0	0
Ochapowace 71-26	0	0
Ochapowace 71-44	0	0
Ochapowace 71-51	0	0
Ochapowace 71-54	0	0
Ochapowace 71-7	0	0
Ochapowace 71-70	0	0
Okanese 82	55	215
One Arrow 95	155	620
One Arrow 95-1A	0	0
One Arrow 95-1C	0	0
One Arrow 95-1D	0	0
Onion Lake 119-1	0	0
Opaskwayak Cree Nation 27A (Carrot River)	0	0
Pasqua 79	0	0

Community	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's	Aboriginal Population Total
Peepeekisis 81	115	495
Pelican Lake 191A	0	0
Pelican Lake 191B	0	0
Pelican Narrows 184B	0	0
Pheasant Rump 68	20	60
Piapot 75	120	465
Piapot Cree First Nation 75H	0	0
Poorman 88	0	0
Potato River 156A	0	0
Poundmaker 114	165	635
Red Earth 29	65	385
Red Pheasant 108	135	600
Sakimay 74	0	0
Saulteaux 159	95	450
Saulteaux 159A	0	0
Seekaskootch 119	260	2620
Shesheep 74A	55	40
Shoal Lake 28A	0	0
Southend 200	150	895
Standing Buffalo 78	0	0
Stanley 157	40	227
Star Blanket 83	55	200
Star Blanket 83C	0	0
Sturgeon Lake 101	285	1215
Sturgeon Weir 184F	0	0
Sucker River 156C (Nemebien River 156C)	0	0
Sweet Grass 113	0	0
Sweet Grass 113-L6	0	0
Sweet Grass 113-M16	0	0
The Key 65	35	115
Thunderchild First Nation 115B	150	785
Thunderchild First Nation 115C	0	0

Community	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's	Aboriginal Population Total
Treaty Four Reserve Grounds 77	0	0
Turnor Lake 193B	90	0
Wahpaton 94A	65	300
Wapachewunak 192D	140	475
Wa-Pii Moos-Toosis (White Calf) 83A	15	85
Waterhen 130	185	708
White Bear 70	215	616
Whitecap	95	340
Witchehan Lake 117	70	370
Witchehan Lake 117D	0	0
Wood Mountain 160	0	0
Yellowquill 90	0	0
Total	8,005	37,726

APPENDIX G: MANITOBA – AT-RISK “OFF-RESERVE” COMMUNITY DETAILS (ALSO INCLUDES – “ON-RESERVE” LOCATIONS THAT MET ONE OR MORE HOMESAFE CRTIERIA)

Community	# of At-risk DA's	Total DA's	% of At-risk DA's	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's*	Total Private Single Detached Dwellings*	% of At-risk Private Single Detached Dwellings*	Aboriginal Population in At-risk DA's	Single Detached Dwelling Population Total*	% of At-risk Population
Brandon	5	78	6.41%	1,570	11,030	14.23%	768	26,741	2.87%
Cornwallis	1	7	14.29%	115	1,180	9.75%	40	3,157	1.27%
Dauphin	1	16	6.25%	230	2,780	8.27%	156	6,055	2.58%
Gimli	1	10	10.00%	290	2,210	13.12%	82	4,582	1.79%
Hanover	2	22	9.09%	445	3,555	12.52%	104	12,654	0.82%
Macdonald	1	9	11.11%	400	1,920	20.83%	99	5,709	1.73%
Morden	1	11	9.09%	415	2,310	17.97%	57	5,694	1.00%
Neepawa	1	7	14.29%	165	1,120	14.73%	12	2,633	0.46%
North Norfolk	1	6	16.67%	140	820	17.07%	26	2,303	1.13%
Norway House 17^	1	12	8.33%	475	1,055	45.02%	2,072	4,411	46.97%
Peguis 1B^	1	5	20.00%	200	715	27.97%	703	2,407	29.21%
Portage la Prairie	1	26	3.85%	195	3,895	5.01%	157	9,186	1.71%
Ritchot	1	10	10.00%	230	1,665	13.81%	125	4,888	2.56%
Selkirk	1	19	5.26%	135	2,595	5.20%	210	6,252	3.36%
Springfield	2	25	8.00%	725	4,665	15.54%	137	13,070	1.05%
St. Andrews	1	21	4.76%	240	4,010	5.99%	89	11,090	0.80%
St. Clements	2	20	10.00%	590	3,375	17.48%	178	9,017	1.97%
Stanley	1	9	11.11%	450	1,875	24.00%	3	7,946	0.04%
Steinbach	2	18	11.11%	820	3,045	26.93%	151	8,094	1.87%

Community	# of At-risk DA's	Total DA's	% of At-risk DA's	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's*	Total Private Single Detached Dwellings*	% of At-risk Private Single Detached Dwellings*	Aboriginal Population in At-risk DA's	Single Detached Dwelling Population Total*	% of At-risk Population
Taché	1	17	5.88%	340	3,050	11.15%	216	9,536	2.27%
Thompson	2	27	7.41%	230	2,340	9.83%	514	6,588	7.80%
Winkler	1	15	6.67%	525	2,635	19.92%	50	7,362	0.68%
Winnipeg	41	1,118	3.67%	8,420	161,765	5.21%	6,163	427,852	1.44%
Total	72	1,508	4.77%	17,345	223,610	7.76%	12,112	597,222	2.03%

* Areas also include Non-Aboriginal counts

^"On-Reserve" dissemination area

APPENDIX H: MANITOBA – AT-RISK “ON-RESERVE” COMMUNITY DETAILS (EXCLUDES “ON-RESERVE” THAT MET ONE OR MORE HOMESAFE CRITERIA)

Community	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's	Aboriginal Population Total
Berens River 13	215	1,015
Birdtail Creek 57	105	405
Black River 9	120	525
Bloodvein 12	135	630
Brochet 197	105	515
Brokenhead 4	0	0
Buffalo Point 36	75	62
Canupawakpa Dakota First Nation (Oak Lake 59)	100	290
Chemawawin 2	185	1,120
Chemawawin 3	0	0
Churchill 1	80	0
Crane River 51	115	465
Cross Lake 19	295	1,750
Cross Lake 19A	320	1,920
Cross Lake 19E	120	680
Dakota Plains 6A	0	0
Dakota Tipi 1	20	50
Dauphin River 48A	0	0
Dog Creek 46	0	0
Ebb and Flow 52	385	1,295
Fairford (Part) 50	225	965
Fisher River 44	410	1,190
Fisher River 44A	0	0
Fort Alexander 3	485	2,020
Fox Lake 2	0	0
Gambler 63 (Part)	30	65
Garden Hill First Nation	420	2,655
Gillam	0	0
God's Lake 23	35	188

Community	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's	Aboriginal Population Total
God's River 86A	105	590
Grand Rapids 33	135	710
Granville Lake	0	0
Hole or Hollow Water 10	140	670
Ilford	35	140
Jackhead 43	70	225
Keeseekoowenin 61	135	435
Lac Brochet 197A	160	800
Little Grand Rapids 14	210	810
Little Saskatchewan 48	105	410
Long Plain (Part) 6	310	1,105
Moose Lake 31A	160	975
Nelson House 170	385	2,340
Norway House 17	580	2,470
Opaskwayak Cree Nation 21A	15	170
Opaskwayak Cree Nation 21B	0	0
Opaskwayak Cree Nation 21C	0	0
Opaskwayak Cree Nation 21E	470	2,245
Opaskwayak Cree Nation 21I	45	131
Oxford House 24	320	1,870
Pauingassi First Nation	100	365
Peguis 1B	515	1,867
Pine Creek 66A	195	680
Poplar River 16	180	830
Pukatawagan 198	330	1,815
Red Sucker Lake 1976	155	895
Rolling River 67	0	0
Rolling River 67B	0	0
Roseau Rapids 2A	0	0
Roseau River 2	0	0
Sandy Bay 5	420	2,102
Shamattawa 1	150	995

Community	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's	Aboriginal Population Total
Shoal Lake (Part) 39A	0	0
Shoal Lake (Part) 40	0	0
Shoal River Indian Reserve 65A	220	805
Sioux Valley Dakota Nation	0	0
South Indian Lake	150	755
Split Lake (Part) 171	315	2,100
St. Theresa Point	480	2,821
Swan Lake 7	135	335
Swan Lake 65C	10	45
The Narrows 49	340	0
Valley River 63A	0	0
Wasagamack	0	0
Waterhen 45	100	375
Waywayseecappo First Nation	0	0
York Landing	100	450
Total	11,255	51,136

APPENDIX I: ONTARIO – AT-RISK “OFF-RESERVE” COMMUNITY DETAILS

Community	# of At-risk DA's	Total DA's	% of At-risk DA's	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's*	Total Private Single Detached Dwellings*	% of At-risk Private Single Detached Dwellings*	Aboriginal Population in At-risk DA's	Single Detached Dwelling Population Total*	% of At-risk Population
Ajax	4	161	2.48%	1,805	23,110	7.81%	67	75,685	0.09%
Alfred and Plantagenet	2	13	15.38%	810	2,985	27.14%	73	7,203	1.01%
Alnwick/Haldimand	1	12	8.33%	275	2,410	11.41%	10	6,421	0.16%
Amherstburg	2	37	5.41%	670	6,915	9.69%	56	18,684	0.30%
Arnprior	1	12	8.33%	295	1,925	15.32%	73	4,161	1.75%
Arran-Elderslie	1	12	8.33%	300	2,335	12.85%	12	5,807	0.21%
Ashfield-Colborne-Wawanosh	1	11	9.09%	250	1,900	13.16%	12	5,154	0.23%
Augusta	1	15	6.67%	260	2,735	9.51%	24	7,100	0.34%
Aurora	2	62	3.23%	2,310	10,885	21.22%	78	33,617	0.23%
Aylmer	2	13	15.38%	410	1,960	20.92%	41	5,001	0.82%
Barrie	8	241	3.32%	3,550	31,050	11.43%	275	89,021	0.31%
Beckwith	1	10	10.00%	450	2,400	18.75%	39	6,558	0.59%
Belleville	4	85	4.71%	1,750	12,370	14.15%	252	30,106	0.84%
Bracebridge	3	27	11.11%	975	4,810	20.27%	88	11,768	0.75%
Bradford West Gwillimbury	1	39	2.56%	1,185	7,070	16.76%	75	21,182	0.35%
Brampton	11	600	1.83%	7,205	78,925	9.13%	402	292,843	0.14%
Brant	3	65	4.62%	900	10,825	8.31%	76	29,786	0.26%
Brantford	8	165	4.85%	1,765	23,445	7.53%	329	61,022	0.54%
Brighton	1	19	5.26%	630	3,910	16.11%	26	9,231	0.28%
Brock	2	24	8.33%	435	3,780	11.51%	30	9,795	0.31%

Community	# of At-risk DA's	Total DA's	% of At-risk DA's	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's*	Total Private Single Detached Dwellings*	% of At-risk Private Single Detached Dwellings*	Aboriginal Population in At-risk DA's	Single Detached Dwelling Population Total*	% of At-risk Population
Brockton	2	16	12.50%	535	3,040	17.60%	16	7,478	0.21%
Brockville	1	42	2.38%	55	4,950	1.11%	35	11,159	0.31%
Burlington	10	267	3.75%	2,695	36,025	7.48%	257	100,418	0.26%
Caledon	5	91	5.49%	1,700	16,305	10.43%	71	50,715	0.14%
Callander	1	6	16.67%	335	1,350	24.81%	42	3,337	1.26%
Cambridge	8	189	4.23%	2,520	27,340	9.22%	357	77,840	0.46%
Carleton Place	2	17	11.76%	485	2,255	21.51%	44	5,459	0.81%
Casselman	1	5	20.00%	305	915	33.33%	53	2,305	2.30%
Central Elgin	1	20	5.00%	325	4,490	7.24%	12	11,898	0.10%
Central Huron	1	16	6.25%	255	2,625	9.71%	4	6,282	0.06%
Centre Hastings	1	7	14.29%	190	1,505	12.62%	25	3,788	0.66%
Centre Wellington	4	48	8.33%	1,050	7,570	13.87%	89	20,618	0.43%
Champlain	1	15	6.67%	300	2,910	10.31%	9	7,041	0.13%
Chatham-Kent	14	206	6.80%	2,355	32,935	7.15%	339	80,623	0.42%
Clarence-Rockland	1	33	3.03%	585	6,760	8.65%	45	18,200	0.25%
Clarington	8	129	6.20%	4,300	23,680	18.16%	379	67,412	0.56%
Clearview	2	28	7.14%	395	4,605	8.58%	22	12,470	0.18%
Cobourg	2	34	5.88%	905	4,770	18.97%	44	11,056	0.40%
Collingwood	1	32	3.13%	740	4,895	15.12%	45	11,533	0.39%
Cornwall	5	97	5.15%	610	10,100	6.04%	164	23,190	0.71%
Cramahe	1	11	9.09%	245	2,170	11.29%	14	5,601	0.25%

Community	# of At-risk DA's	Total DA's	% of At-risk DA's	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's*	Total Private Single Detached Dwellings*	% of At-risk Private Single Detached Dwellings*	Aboriginal Population in At-risk DA's	Single Detached Dwelling Population Total*	% of At-risk Population
Deep River	1	7	14.29%	275	1,405	19.57%	61	3,223	1.89%
Douro-Dummer	1	11	9.09%	345	2,525	13.66%	25	6,645	0.38%
East Ferris	1	6	16.67%	455	1,650	27.58%	83	4,270	1.94%
East Gwillimbury	3	37	8.11%	940	6,340	14.83%	63	18,529	0.34%
East Hawkesbury	1	6	16.67%	320	1,240	25.81%	10	3,029	0.33%
East Zorra-Tavistock	2	13	15.38%	495	1,970	25.13%	8	5,244	0.15%
Edwardsburgh/Cardinal	1	13	7.69%	235	2,475	9.49%	18	6,139	0.29%
Elizabethtown-Kitley	1	20	5.00%	235	3,450	6.81%	10	8,847	0.11%
Elliot Lake	1	18	5.56%	160	2,595	6.17%	59	5,343	1.10%
Espanola	1	11	9.09%	220	1,830	12.02%	64	4,326	1.48%
Essa	2	31	6.45%	1,155	4,985	23.17%	140	14,159	0.99%
Essex	2	33	6.06%	605	6,650	9.10%	36	16,759	0.21%
Fort Erie	2	52	3.85%	565	10,400	5.43%	51	24,479	0.21%
Fort Frances	1	17	5.88%	185	2,730	6.78%	86	6,136	1.40%
Georgian Bluffs	1	20	5.00%	240	3,840	6.25%	18	9,750	0.18%
Georgina	3	64	4.69%	1,775	13,150	13.50%	191	35,815	0.53%
Goderich	2	15	13.33%	320	2,355	13.59%	14	5,192	0.27%
Gravenhurst	2	22	9.09%	370	3,510	10.54%	41	8,076	0.51%
Greater Napanee	1	30	3.33%	375	4,730	7.93%	38	11,558	0.33%
Greater Sudbury / Grand Sudbury	13	262	4.96%	4,090	41,945	9.75%	1,643	104,567	1.57%

Community	# of At-risk DA's	Total DA's	% of At-risk DA's	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's*	Total Private Single Detached Dwellings*	% of At-risk Private Single Detached Dwellings*	Aboriginal Population in At-risk DA's	Single Detached Dwelling Population Total*	% of At-risk Population
Grey Highlands	2	18	11.11%	445	3,475	12.81%	42	8,820	0.48%
Grimsby	2	39	5.13%	780	6,825	11.43%	26	18,407	0.14%
Guelph	6	200	3.00%	2,150	26,280	8.18%	296	70,445	0.42%
Guelph/Eramosa	2	22	9.09%	760	3,830	19.84%	53	11,204	0.47%
Haldimand County	8	84	9.52%	2,040	14,385	14.18%	214	38,575	0.55%
Halton Hills	2	97	2.06%	790	14,985	5.27%	72	44,797	0.16%
Hamilton	1	22	4.55%	230	3,855	5.97%	20	10,231	0.20%
Hamilton	29	874	3.32%	5,875	118,030	4.98%	1,024	319,469	0.32%
Hanover	2	14	14.29%	310	2,090	14.83%	14	4,840	0.29%
Hastings Highlands	1	7	14.29%	460	1,780	25.84%	60	4,084	1.47%
Hearst	1	10	10.00%	160	1,220	13.11%	27	2,858	0.94%
Huntsville	2	32	6.25%	625	6,175	10.12%	57	15,402	0.37%
Huron East	1	19	5.26%	220	3,035	7.25%	9	7,822	0.12%
Huron-Kinloss	1	10	10.00%	355	2,355	15.07%	22	6,023	0.37%
Ingersoll	1	19	5.26%	425	3,430	12.39%	23	8,839	0.26%
Innisfil	2	60	3.33%	1,195	11,455	10.43%	69	30,534	0.23%
Kapuskasing	1	17	5.88%	260	2,375	10.95%	55	5,300	1.04%
Kawartha Lakes	3	114	2.63%	1,515	24,730	6.13%	88	60,888	0.14%
Kenora	2	30	6.67%	375	5,110	7.34%	318	11,954	2.66%
Kenora, Unorganized	1	20	5.00%	335	2,395	13.99%	90	5,739	1.57%
Kincardine	2	19	10.53%	505	3,855	13.10%	31	9,164	0.34%

Community	# of At-risk DA's	Total DA's	% of At-risk DA's	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's*	Total Private Single Detached Dwellings*	% of At-risk Private Single Detached Dwellings*	Aboriginal Population in At-risk DA's	Single Detached Dwelling Population Total*	% of At-risk Population
King	2	35	5.71%	535	6,150	8.70%	17	18,356	0.09%
Kingston	10	196	5.10%	4,220	25,900	16.29%	567	65,235	0.87%
Kingsville	1	32	3.13%	685	6,625	10.34%	28	17,528	0.16%
Kitchener	18	313	5.75%	5,630	43,130	13.05%	572	116,297	0.49%
Lakeshore	3	50	6.00%	1,535	11,295	13.59%	93	31,780	0.29%
Lambton Shores	2	22	9.09%	625	4,030	15.51%	47	8,915	0.53%
LaSalle	2	49	4.08%	680	8,590	7.92%	39	25,135	0.16%
Laurentian Valley	1	15	6.67%	305	3,385	9.01%	85	8,995	0.95%
Leamington	2	47	4.26%	390	6,610	5.90%	23	18,278	0.13%
Leeds and the Thousand Islands	2	18	11.11%	525	3,575	14.69%	27	8,803	0.31%
Lincoln	2	33	6.06%	825	5,985	13.78%	50	16,180	0.31%
London	25	570	4.39%	4,250	77,850	5.46%	813	201,789	0.40%
Loyalist	1	29	3.45%	290	4,685	6.19%	47	11,908	0.39%
Lucan Biddulph	1	8	12.50%	260	1,460	17.81%	11	3,893	0.28%
Malahide	2	16	12.50%	345	2,625	13.14%	6	8,476	0.07%
Markham	6	337	1.78%	2,135	58,065	3.68%	60	203,100	0.03%
Meaford	2	21	9.52%	415	3,875	10.71%	20	9,144	0.22%
Midland	3	33	9.09%	760	4,810	15.80%	295	11,134	2.65%
Milton	3	102	2.94%	4,605	17,460	26.37%	56	54,684	0.10%
Minden Hills	1	10	10.00%	350	2,330	15.02%	8	5,204	0.15%
Minto	1	16	6.25%	235	2,525	9.31%	16	6,582	0.24%

Community	# of At-risk DA's	Total DA's	% of At-risk DA's	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's*	Total Private Single Detached Dwellings*	% of At-risk Private Single Detached Dwellings*	Aboriginal Population in At-risk DA's	Single Detached Dwelling Population Total*	% of At-risk Population
Mississauga	24	935	2.57%	965	91,835	1.05%	486	303,142	0.16%
Mississippi Mills	2	20	10.00%	630	4,050	15.56%	76	10,258	0.74%
Mono	2	13	15.38%	580	2,490	23.29%	8	7,261	0.11%
Montague	1	6	16.67%	255	1,280	19.92%	12	3,324	0.36%
Mulmur	1	6	16.67%	260	1,260	20.63%	5	3,323	0.15%
New Tecumseth	2	51	3.92%	895	7,975	11.22%	97	21,732	0.45%
Newmarket	2	104	1.92%	1,430	16,190	8.83%	85	49,224	0.17%
Niagara Falls	6	147	4.08%	1,980	22,685	8.73%	191	56,893	0.34%
Niagara-on-the-Lake	2	24	8.33%	790	5,070	15.58%	24	12,704	0.19%
Norfolk County	10	121	8.26%	2,630	21,015	12.51%	247	52,546	0.47%
North Bay	6	100	6.00%	1,095	11,290	9.70%	585	26,986	2.17%
North Dumfries	2	17	11.76%	410	2,840	14.44%	6	8,239	0.07%
North Dundas	3	22	13.64%	620	3,750	16.53%	55	9,638	0.57%
North Glengarry	2	21	9.52%	340	3,405	9.99%	43	8,169	0.53%
North Grenville	3	27	11.11%	790	4,975	15.88%	61	13,266	0.46%
North Middlesex	1	14	7.14%	145	2,210	6.56%	3	6,064	0.05%
North Perth	1	23	4.35%	295	3,670	8.04%	6	9,858	0.06%
Norwich	2	17	11.76%	510	3,330	15.32%	6	9,879	0.06%
Oakville	7	283	2.47%	3,535	39,375	8.98%	117	122,919	0.10%
Orangeville	3	44	6.82%	800	6,140	13.03%	51	17,924	0.28%
Orillia	4	62	6.45%	855	7,450	11.48%	191	17,609	1.08%

Community	# of At-risk DA's	Total DA's	% of At-risk DA's	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's*	Total Private Single Detached Dwellings*	% of At-risk Private Single Detached Dwellings*	Aboriginal Population in At-risk DA's	Single Detached Dwelling Population Total*	% of At-risk Population
Oro-Medonte	3	36	8.33%	950	7,275	13.06%	85	19,503	0.44%
Oshawa	12	251	4.78%	2,985	32,830	9.09%	397	87,024	0.46%
Otonabee-South Monaghan	1	13	7.69%	240	2,310	10.39%	13	6,056	0.21%
Ottawa	31	1,367	2.27%	7,875	151,440	5.20%	1,840	421,235	0.44%
Owen Sound	4	43	9.30%	565	5,005	11.29%	98	11,226	0.87%
Parry Sound	1	12	8.33%	215	1,690	12.72%	45	3,632	1.24%
Pelham	3	27	11.11%	835	5,255	15.89%	70	13,936	0.50%
Pembroke	2	25	8.00%	380	3,735	10.17%	176	8,296	2.12%
Penetanguishene	2	18	11.11%	370	2,455	15.07%	275	5,877	4.68%
Perth	1	12	8.33%	285	1,575	18.10%	16	3,174	0.50%
Perth East	2	18	11.11%	615	3,475	17.70%	11	10,755	0.10%
Petawawa	1	20	5.00%	675	4,205	16.05%	163	11,039	1.48%
Peterborough	6	131	4.58%	2,170	19,675	11.03%	314	47,250	0.66%
Pickering	5	138	3.62%	740	18,465	4.01%	97	58,244	0.17%
Plympton-Wyoming	1	14	7.14%	245	2,640	9.28%	12	7,015	0.17%
Port Colborne	3	33	9.09%	805	5,885	13.68%	110	13,701	0.80%
Port Hope	1	31	3.23%	520	4,865	10.69%	22	12,140	0.18%
Prince Edward County	2	40	5.00%	590	9,140	6.46%	56	21,582	0.26%
Puslinch	1	12	8.33%	290	2,140	13.55%	5	6,043	0.08%
Quinte West	4	77	5.19%	1,060	13,115	8.08%	141	33,151	0.43%
Ramara	1	17	5.88%	360	3,480	10.34%	50	8,621	0.58%

Community	# of At-risk DA's	Total DA's	% of At-risk DA's	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's*	Total Private Single Detached Dwellings*	% of At-risk Private Single Detached Dwellings*	Aboriginal Population in At-risk DA's	Single Detached Dwelling Population Total*	% of At-risk Population
Red Lake	1	8	12.50%	230	1,240	18.55%	118	3,066	3.85%
Renfrew	1	14	7.14%	145	2,525	5.74%	44	5,297	0.83%
Richmond Hill	5	207	2.42%	4,025	36,405	11.06%	48	123,399	0.04%
Rideau Lakes	1	19	5.26%	305	3,860	7.90%	29	9,296	0.31%
Russell	1	24	4.17%	425	4,555	9.33%	32	13,121	0.24%
Sarnia	9	140	6.43%	1,340	20,920	6.41%	250	50,121	0.50%
Saugeen Shores	1	16	6.25%	455	4,360	10.44%	39	9,948	0.39%
Sault Ste. Marie	8	149	5.37%	1,900	21,240	8.95%	712	50,716	1.40%
Scugog	1	36	2.78%	650	7,120	9.13%	29	19,285	0.15%
Severn	2	22	9.09%	520	4,450	11.69%	74	11,330	0.65%
Shelburne	1	8	12.50%	395	1,545	25.57%	16	4,265	0.38%
Shuniah	1	5	20.00%	270	1,115	24.22%	40	2,572	1.56%
Smith-Ennismore-Lakefield	1	25	4.00%	380	6,225	6.10%	195	15,836	1.23%
Smiths Falls	1	17	5.88%	175	2,185	8.01%	44	4,783	0.92%
South Dundas	1	21	4.76%	255	3,555	7.17%	18	8,635	0.21%
South Frontenac	3	27	11.11%	1,045	6,465	16.16%	143	17,225	0.83%
South Glengarry	2	25	8.00%	870	4,905	17.74%	25	12,276	0.20%
South Stormont	1	23	4.35%	280	4,465	6.27%	14	11,596	0.12%
Southgate	2	14	14.29%	455	2,390	19.04%	26	6,610	0.39%
Southwest Middlesex	1	12	8.33%	220	2,065	10.65%	10	5,086	0.20%
South-West Oxford	1	13	7.69%	260	2,455	10.59%	9	7,080	0.13%

Community	# of At-risk DA's	Total DA's	% of At-risk DA's	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's*	Total Private Single Detached Dwellings*	% of At-risk Private Single Detached Dwellings*	Aboriginal Population in At-risk DA's	Single Detached Dwelling Population Total*	% of At-risk Population
Southwold	1	9	11.11%	270	1,570	17.20%	7	4,326	0.16%
Springwater	3	31	9.68%	850	5,880	14.46%	55	17,141	0.32%
St. Catharines	10	228	4.39%	1,130	32,255	3.50%	295	78,966	0.37%
St. Clair	1	28	3.57%	350	5,140	6.81%	23	13,097	0.18%
St. Thomas	3	61	4.92%	1,870	10,405	17.97%	131	25,540	0.51%
Stirling-Rawdon	1	9	11.11%	240	1,640	14.63%	21	4,253	0.49%
Stone Mills	2	15	13.33%	470	2,715	17.31%	26	7,243	0.36%
Stratford	2	45	4.44%	640	7,320	8.74%	44	16,955	0.26%
Strathroy-Caradoc	3	39	7.69%	655	5,700	11.49%	44	14,919	0.29%
Tay	1	18	5.56%	520	3,700	14.05%	133	9,062	1.47%
Tecumseh	3	36	8.33%	970	7,125	13.61%	52	19,994	0.26%
Temiskaming Shores	2	21	9.52%	450	3,365	13.37%	43	7,856	0.55%
Thames Centre	2	25	8.00%	705	4,335	16.26%	28	12,017	0.23%
The Nation / La Nation	2	18	11.11%	845	3,860	21.89%	108	10,372	1.04%
Thunder Bay	6	213	2.82%	635	31,065	2.04%	873	73,826	1.18%
Timmins	4	73	5.48%	1,390	11,465	12.12%	401	28,186	1.42%
Toronto	106	3,685	2.88%	2,990	274,980	1.09%	2,554	781,644	0.33%
Tweed	1	10	10.00%	215	2,180	9.86%	30	5,111	0.59%
Tyendinaga	1	7	14.29%	270	1,430	18.88%	61	4,065	1.50%
Uxbridge	3	29	10.34%	1,110	6,065	18.30%	47	17,020	0.28%
Vaughan	8	285	2.81%	7,715	58,225	13.25%	172	201,489	0.09%

Community	# of At-risk DA's	Total DA's	% of At-risk DA's	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's*	Total Private Single Detached Dwellings*	% of At-risk Private Single Detached Dwellings*	Aboriginal Population in At-risk DA's	Single Detached Dwelling Population Total*	% of At-risk Population
Wainfleet	1	11	9.09%	365	2,270	16.08%	26	6,092	0.43%
Wasaga Beach	2	25	8.00%	1,040	6,710	15.50%	40	15,522	0.26%
Waterloo	2	153	1.31%	1,395	21,740	6.42%	45	60,881	0.07%
Welland	3	90	3.33%	1,130	14,115	8.01%	146	33,780	0.43%
Wellington North	2	22	9.09%	420	3,235	12.98%	8	8,545	0.09%
West Grey	1	23	4.35%	265	4,340	6.11%	11	10,801	0.10%
West Lincoln	2	23	8.70%	545	3,980	13.69%	11	11,854	0.09%
West Nipissing / Nipissing Ouest	3	22	13.64%	1,030	4,440	23.20%	385	10,591	3.64%
Whitby	11	183	6.01%	3,350	29,225	11.46%	224	90,174	0.25%
Whitchurch-Stouffville	3	40	7.50%	3,560	10,255	34.71%	108	29,519	0.37%
Whitewater Region	1	12	8.33%	385	2,475	15.56%	66	6,288	1.05%
Wilmot	2	29	6.90%	975	5,530	17.63%	22	15,083	0.15%
Windsor	15	376	3.99%	4,285	54,645	7.84%	577	138,339	0.42%
Woodstock	3	55	5.45%	1,385	9,115	15.19%	102	22,578	0.45%
Woolwich	3	35	8.57%	1,365	6,045	22.58%	69	17,475	0.39%
Zorra	1	14	7.14%	345	2,750	12.55%	4	7,538	0.05%
Total	773	18,514	4.18%	213,195	2,487,620	8.57%	27,511	6,927,552	0.40%

* Areas also include Non-Aboriginal counts

APPENDIX J: ONTARIO – AT-RISK “ON-RESERVE” COMMUNITY DETAILS

Community	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's	Aboriginal Population Total
Abitibi 70	45	0
Agency 1	0	0
Akwesasne (Part) 59	0	0
Alderville First Nation	175	307
Aroland 83	90	325
Attawapiskat 91A	0	0
Bear Island 1	0	0
Bearskin Lake	0	0
Big Grassy River 35G	75	245
Big Island Mainland 93	0	0
Cat Lake 63C	95	470
Chapleau 74A	0	0
Chapleau 75	30	0
Chippewas of Georgina Island First Nation	95	167
Chippewas of the Thames First Nation 42	270	770
Christian Island 30	0	0
Christian Island 30A	0	0
Constance Lake 92	180	660
Couchiching 16A	205	760
Curve Lake First Nation 35	420	192
Deer Lake	0	0
Dokis 9	90	180
Duck Lake 76B	25	0
Eagle Lake 27	85	220
English River 21	180	620
Factory Island 1	335	0
Flying Post 73	0	0
Fort Albany (Part) 67	385	0
Fort Hope 64	0	0
Fort Severn 89	80	330
Fort William 52	260	231

Community	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's	Aboriginal Population Total
French River 13	40	124
Garden River 14	410	1040
Ginoogaming First Nation	55	170
Goulais Bay 15A	0	0
Gros Cap 49	25	56
Gull River 55	80	0
Henvey Inlet 2	0	0
Hiawatha First Nation	130	211
Kasabonika Lake	0	0
Kee-Way-Win	40	335
Kenora 38B	90	380
Kettle Point 44	290	870
Kingfisher Lake 1	0	0
Kitchenuhmaykoosib Aaki 84 (Big Trout Lake)	210	900
Lac des Mille Lacs 22A1	0	0
Lac Seul 28	230	870
Lake Helen 53A	105	269
Lake Nipigon	0	0
Lake Of The Woods 37	10	31
Lansdowne House	0	0
Long Lake 58	100	375
Long Sault 12	0	0
MacDowell Lake	0	0
Magnetewan 1	35	81
Manitou Rapids 11	65	305
Marten Falls 65	0	0
Matachewan 72	30	69
Mattagami 71	0	0
M'Chigeeng 22 (West Bay 22)	335	845
Mississagi River 8	145	346
Mississaugas of Scugog Island	30	55
Mnjikaning First Nation 32 (Rama First Nation	250	750

Community	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's	Aboriginal Population Total
32)		
Moose Factory 68	0	0
Moose Point 79	65	176
Moravian 47	135	380
Munsee-Delaware Nation 1	50	143
Muskrat Dam Lake	75	260
Neguaguon Lake 25D	70	200
Neskantaga	0	0
New Credit (Part) 40A	0	0
New Post 69A	25	45
Neyaashiinigiing 27	0	0
Nipissing 10	545	0
North Spirit Lake	70	255
Northwest Angle 33B	0	0
Ojibway Nation of Saugeen (Savant Lake)	25	125
Oneida 41	0	0
Osnaburgh 63A	25	150
Osnaburgh 63B	80	420
Parry Island First Nation	135	346
Pays Plat 51	30	70
Peawanuck	65	0
Pic Mobert North	55	190
Pic Mobert South	20	46
Pic River 50	130	0
Pikangikum 14	0	0
Pikwakanagan (Golden Lake 39)	175	0
Poplar Hill	0	0
Rainy Lake 17A	50	215
Rainy Lake 17B	0	0
Rainy Lake 18C	25	95
Rainy Lake 26A	35	160
Rankin Location 15D	0	0

Community	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's	Aboriginal Population Total
Rat Portage 38A	0	0
Rocky Bay 1	60	175
Sabaskong Bay (Part) 35C	0	0
Sabaskong Bay 35D	100	385
Sachigo Lake 1	0	0
Sagamok	285	1020
Sandy Lake 88	430	0
Sarnia 45	200	595
Saug-a-Gaw-Sing 1	0	0
Saugeen 29	230	690
Seine River 22A2	0	0
Seine River 23A	80	270
Seine River 23B	0	0
Serpent River 7	130	345
Shawanaga 17	80	195
Sheguiandah 24	55	145
Sheshegwaning 20	50	115
Shoal Lake (Part) 39A	125	385
Shoal Lake (Part) 40	30	105
Shoal Lake 34B2	30	90
Six Nations (Part) 40	0	0
Slate Falls	30	175
Sucker Creek 23	130	455
Summer Beaver	0	0
The Dalles 38C	50	195
Thessalon 12	55	100
Tyendinaga Mohawk Territory	0	0
Wabaseemoong	200	865
Wabauskang 21	25	75
Wabigoon Lake 27	55	175
Wahnapitei 11	40	76
Wahta Mohawk Territory	0	0

Community	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's	Aboriginal Population Total
Walpole Island 46	0	0
Wapekeka 2	70	370
Wawakapewin (Long Dog Lake)	0	0
Weagamow Lake 87	0	0
Webequie	0	0
Whitefish Bay 32A	170	670
Whitefish Bay 33A	30	80
Whitefish Bay 34A	35	120
Whitefish Lake 6	110	360
Whitefish River (Part) 4	175	435
Whitesand	60	255
Wikwemikong Unceded	610	1966
Wunnumin 1	0	0
Zhiibaahaasing 19A (Cockburn Island 19A)	20	50
Total	11,460	27,772

APPENDIX K: QUEBEC – AT-RISK “OFF-RESERVE” COMMUNITY DETAILS

Community	# of At-risk DA's	Total DA's	% of At-risk DA's	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's*	Total Private Single Detached Dwellings*	% of At-risk Private Single Detached Dwellings*	Aboriginal Population in At-risk DA's	Single Detached Dwelling Population Total*	% of At-risk Population
Acton Vale	2	15	13.33%	340	2,010	16.92%	6	4,644	0.13%
Alma	4	55	7.27%	1,260	6,675	18.88%	118	16,006	0.74%
Amos	3	26	11.54%	650	2,740	23.72%	22	6,407	0.34%
Asbestos	1	13	7.69%	115	1,785	6.44%	9	3,742	0.24%
Bécancour	2	23	8.70%	645	3,760	17.15%	20	8,638	0.23%
Baie-Comeau	3	42	7.14%	850	5,000	17.00%	59	11,588	0.51%
Baie-Saint-Paul	1	12	8.33%	240	1,940	12.37%	5	4,345	0.12%
Beaconsfield	1	37	2.70%	120	5,775	2.08%	3	17,005	0.02%
Beauceville	1	12	8.33%	195	1,845	10.57%	4	4,357	0.09%
Beauharnois	4	19	21.05%	1,195	2,945	40.58%	62	6,695	0.93%
Beaupré	1	5	20.00%	230	810	28.40%	11	1,817	0.61%
Beloeil	2	36	5.56%	695	5,425	12.81%	22	13,763	0.16%
Berthierville	1	7	14.29%	185	695	26.62%	9	1,439	0.63%
Blainville	4	67	5.97%	1,950	13,575	14.36%	95	40,379	0.24%
Boisbriand	4	46	8.70%	300	5,235	5.73%	45	14,703	0.31%
Boucherville	4	74	5.41%	865	10,160	8.51%	36	27,119	0.13%
Bromont	1	10	10.00%	350	2,010	17.41%	7	4,885	0.14%
Brossard	3	120	2.50%	525	13,560	3.87%	56	38,281	0.15%
Brownsburg-Chatham	1	10	10.00%	475	2,455	19.35%	7	5,677	0.12%

Community	# of At-risk DA's	Total DA's	% of At-risk DA's	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's*	Total Private Single Detached Dwellings*	% of At-risk Private Single Detached Dwellings*	Aboriginal Population in At-risk DA's	Single Detached Dwelling Population Total*	% of At-risk Population
Candiac	1	28	3.57%	195	4,670	4.18%	6	13,201	0.05%
Carignan	1	9	11.11%	485	2,570	18.87%	6	7,296	0.08%
Château-Richer	1	7	14.29%	410	1,030	39.81%	20	2,338	0.86%
Châteauguay	1	78	1.28%	530	12,115	4.37%	73	31,819	0.23%
Chambly	2	34	5.88%	1,490	5,800	25.69%	36	15,680	0.23%
Chandler	1	13	7.69%	435	2,570	16.93%	41	5,746	0.71%
Charlemagne	1	10	10.00%	170	905	18.78%	22	2,174	1.01%
Chelsea	1	10	10.00%	480	2,465	19.47%	45	6,679	0.67%
Chibougamau	1	16	6.25%	0	1,525	0.00%	23	3,690	0.62%
Clermont	1	6	16.67%	250	855	29.24%	5	1,940	0.26%
Coaticook	2	18	11.11%	290	2,245	12.92%	33	5,475	0.60%
Contrecoeur	1	10	10.00%	250	1,595	15.67%	17	3,622	0.47%
Cookshire-Eaton	1	9	11.11%	245	1,600	15.31%	3	3,963	0.08%
Coteau-du-Lac	1	10	10.00%	345	2,000	17.25%	24	5,265	0.46%
Cowansville	3	27	11.11%	610	2,430	25.10%	13	5,583	0.23%
Delson	1	12	8.33%	490	2,045	23.96%	14	5,510	0.25%
Deux-Montagnes	1	28	3.57%	65	4,215	1.54%	21	10,875	0.19%
Dolbeau-Mistassini	2	33	6.06%	385	3,545	10.86%	74	8,036	0.92%
Dollard-Des Ormeaux	9	77	11.69%	630	9,960	6.33%	53	30,635	0.17%
Donnacoona	1	11	9.09%	165	1,560	10.58%	5	3,308	0.15%

Community	# of At-risk DA's	Total DA's	% of At-risk DA's	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's*	Total Private Single Detached Dwellings*	% of At-risk Private Single Detached Dwellings*	Aboriginal Population in At-risk DA's	Single Detached Dwelling Population Total*	% of At-risk Population
Dorval	1	34	2.94%	20	3,935	0.51%	19	9,847	0.19%
Drummondville	4	136	2.94%	1,185	14,630	8.10%	55	35,089	0.16%
Ferme-Neuve	1	6	16.67%	195	900	21.67%	9	2,035	0.44%
Gaspé	1	23	4.35%	265	4,770	5.56%	70	11,057	0.63%
Gatineau	12	402	2.99%	4,695	46,460	10.11%	1,172	118,570	0.99%
Granby	7	117	5.98%	1,345	11,835	11.36%	54	29,275	0.18%
Hudson	1	9	11.11%	340	1,900	17.89%	9	4,666	0.19%
Joliette	3	31	9.68%	975	2,845	34.27%	31	6,266	0.49%
Kirkland	2	34	5.88%	460	5,815	7.91%	9	18,717	0.05%
Lévis	11	233	4.72%	1,920	31,855	6.03%	150	80,238	0.19%
L'Épiphanie	1	8	12.50%	215	1,030	20.87%	15	2,707	0.55%
L'Île-Perrot	1	17	5.88%	240	2,050	11.71%	16	5,014	0.32%
La Malbaie	1	17	5.88%	280	2,500	11.20%	11	5,905	0.19%
La Prairie	2	33	6.06%	1,675	5,300	31.60%	51	15,214	0.34%
La Sarre	1	15	6.67%	310	1,990	15.58%	19	4,439	0.43%
La Tuque	2	18	11.11%	615	3,015	20.40%	154	6,541	2.35%
Lac-Beauport	1	11	9.09%	515	2,500	20.60%	17	6,929	0.25%
Lachute	2	20	10.00%	470	2,895	16.23%	32	6,451	0.50%
Lac-Mégantic	1	12	8.33%	285	1,485	19.19%	6	3,145	0.19%
L'Ancienne-Lorette	1	31	3.23%	390	4,290	9.09%	12	10,943	0.11%

Community	# of At-risk DA's	Total DA's	% of At-risk DA's	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's*	Total Private Single Detached Dwellings*	% of At-risk Private Single Detached Dwellings*	Aboriginal Population in At-risk DA's	Single Detached Dwelling Population Total*	% of At-risk Population
L'Ange-Gardien	1	6	16.67%	420	1,690	24.85%	33	4,736	0.70%
L'Assomption	2	32	6.25%	1,170	4,890	23.93%	18	12,649	0.14%
Laval	25	637	3.92%	4,615	74,470	6.20%	325	208,303	0.16%
Lavaltrie	2	17	11.76%	870	3,970	21.91%	27	10,542	0.26%
Les Îles-de-la-Madeleine	1	20	5.00%	515	4,435	11.61%	4	10,357	0.04%
Les Cédres	1	9	11.11%	355	1,975	17.97%	5	5,168	0.10%
Longueuil	11	414	2.66%	1,125	34,490	3.26%	200	87,866	0.23%
Lorraine	1	15	6.67%	345	2,960	11.66%	6	8,663	0.07%
Louiseville	1	12	8.33%	260	1,685	15.43%	5	3,455	0.14%
Magog	1	44	2.27%	45	5,815	0.77%	11	13,406	0.08%
Marieville	1	13	7.69%	225	2,125	10.59%	28	5,131	0.55%
Mascouche	1	50	2.00%	1,500	10,645	14.09%	102	29,260	0.35%
Matane	2	30	6.67%	245	3,870	6.33%	9	8,236	0.11%
Mercier	1	15	6.67%	800	3,390	23.60%	58	9,274	0.63%
Mirabel	4	47	8.51%	2,005	10,540	19.02%	112	28,366	0.39%
Mistissini^	1	6	16.67%	415	775	53.55%	1,626	3,080	52.79%
Mont-Joli	1	14	7.14%	215	1,560	13.78%	11	3,306	0.33%
Mont-Laurier	1	25	4.00%	295	3,715	7.94%	21	8,525	0.25%
Montmagny	2	22	9.09%	395	2,810	14.06%	10	6,244	0.16%

Community	# of At-risk DA's	Total DA's	% of At-risk DA's	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's*	Total Private Single Detached Dwellings*	% of At-risk Private Single Detached Dwellings*	Aboriginal Population in At-risk DA's	Single Detached Dwelling Population Total*	% of At-risk Population
Montréal	69	2,804	2.46%	1,795	56,705	3.17%	638	150,591	0.42%
Mont-Saint-Hilaire	2	24	8.33%	990	4,680	21.15%	24	12,249	0.20%
Mont-Tremblant	1	17	5.88%	105	2,350	4.47%	14	5,044	0.28%
Napierville	1	6	16.67%	205	935	21.93%	9	2,301	0.39%
Nicolet	1	16	6.25%	240	2,015	11.91%	5	4,448	0.11%
Nominingue	1	5	20.00%	255	820	31.10%	14	1,682	0.83%
Normandin	1	7	14.29%	165	1,000	16.50%	17	2,282	0.75%
Notre-Dame-des-Prairies	1	10	10.00%	760	2,425	31.34%	11	5,528	0.20%
Notre-Dame-du-Mont-Carmel	1	9	11.11%	360	2,030	17.73%	15	4,881	0.31%
Oka	1	9	11.11%	335	1,390	24.10%	82	3,388	2.42%
Otterburn Park	1	14	7.14%	300	2,685	11.17%	10	7,151	0.14%
Percé	1	7	14.29%	220	1,380	15.94%	15	2,924	0.51%
Pessamit^	1	5	20.00%	300	670	44.78%	1,158	2,170	53.36%
Pincourt	1	21	4.76%	350	3,885	9.01%	24	11,087	0.22%
Plessisville	1	13	7.69%	120	1,585	7.57%	4	3,520	0.11%
Pohénégamook	1	6	16.67%	205	930	22.04%	13	2,148	0.61%
Pointe-Calumet	1	11	9.09%	440	2,285	19.26%	20	5,772	0.35%
Pointe-Claire	2	50	4.00%	190	6,575	2.89%	28	17,745	0.16%

Community	# of At-risk DA's	Total DA's	% of At-risk DA's	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's*	Total Private Single Detached Dwellings*	% of At-risk Private Single Detached Dwellings*	Aboriginal Population in At-risk DA's	Single Detached Dwelling Population Total*	% of At-risk Population
Pontiac	1	8	12.50%	515	2,020	25.50%	157	5,287	2.97%
Pont-Rouge	1	14	7.14%	540	2,685	20.11%	7	6,714	0.10%
Prévost	1	15	6.67%	635	3,920	16.20%	16	10,004	0.16%
Princeville	1	9	11.11%	270	1,540	17.53%	8	3,710	0.22%
Québec	26	883	2.94%	6,830	78,595	8.69%	623	192,410	0.32%
Rawdon	2	17	11.76%	665	3,720	17.88%	40	8,384	0.48%
Repentigny	2	137	1.46%	380	19,910	1.91%	30	53,464	0.06%
Rigaud	1	10	10.00%	370	2,310	16.02%	8	5,560	0.14%
Rimouski	4	71	5.63%	1,025	10,680	9.60%	53	24,659	0.21%
Rivière-du-Loup	4	35	11.43%	930	3,855	24.12%	34	8,558	0.40%
Rivière-Rouge	1	10	10.00%	310	1,515	20.46%	54	3,166	1.71%
Roberval	1	18	5.56%	205	2,225	9.21%	61	4,908	1.24%
Rosemère	2	22	9.09%	735	4,190	17.54%	8	11,965	0.07%
Rouyn-Noranda	7	68	10.29%	2,315	9,350	24.76%	144	22,869	0.63%
Saguenay	15	273	5.49%	4,200	30,695	13.68%	452	72,797	0.62%
Saint-Alphonse-de-Granby	1	6	16.67%	215	950	22.63%	8	2,719	0.29%
Saint-Amable	2	14	14.29%	965	2,465	39.15%	82	6,901	1.19%
Saint-Basile-le-Grand	1	24	4.17%	475	4,500	10.56%	23	12,571	0.18%
Saint-Bruno	1	5	20.00%	200	680	29.41%	22	1,721	1.28%

Community	# of At-risk DA's	Total DA's	% of At-risk DA's	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's*	Total Private Single Detached Dwellings*	% of At-risk Private Single Detached Dwellings*	Aboriginal Population in At-risk DA's	Single Detached Dwelling Population Total*	% of At-risk Population
Saint-Bruno-de-Montarville	3	46	6.52%	1,070	7,310	14.64%	35	20,093	0.17%
Saint-Côme	1	5	20.00%	280	1,110	25.23%	10	2,732	0.37%
Saint-Césaire	1	8	12.50%	225	1,440	15.63%	11	3,475	0.32%
Saint-Calixte	1	10	10.00%	420	2,605	16.12%	21	5,554	0.38%
Saint-Charles-Borromée	2	22	9.09%	570	2,930	19.45%	37	6,924	0.53%
Saint-Colomban	1	11	9.09%	920	4,440	20.72%	31	11,815	0.26%
Saint-Constant	1	43	2.33%	440	6,720	6.55%	13	19,292	0.07%
Sainte-Agathe-des-Monts	1	17	5.88%	125	2,420	5.17%	19	5,346	0.36%
Sainte-Anne-de-Bellevue	1	8	12.50%	305	860	35.47%	11	2,477	0.44%
Sainte-Anne-des-Monts	1	14	7.14%	260	2,105	12.35%	14	4,681	0.30%
Sainte-Anne-des-Plaines	1	27	3.70%	85	3,530	2.41%	18	9,777	0.18%
Sainte-Brigitte-de-Laval	1	7	14.29%	375	1,820	20.60%	12	4,554	0.26%
Sainte-Catherine-de-la-Jacques-Cartier	1	8	12.50%	550	1,955	28.13%	45	4,992	0.90%
Sainte-Julie	2	56	3.57%	105	7,755	1.35%	31	22,637	0.14%

Community	# of At-risk DA's	Total DA's	% of At-risk DA's	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's*	Total Private Single Detached Dwellings*	% of At-risk Private Single Detached Dwellings*	Aboriginal Population in At-risk DA's	Single Detached Dwelling Population Total*	% of At-risk Population
Sainte-Julienne	1	14	7.14%	655	3,560	18.40%	28	8,370	0.33%
Sainte-Marie	1	16	6.25%	360	3,205	11.23%	13	7,563	0.17%
Sainte-Marthe-sur-le-Lac	2	16	12.50%	1,335	4,565	29.24%	51	11,941	0.43%
Sainte-Martine	1	8	12.50%	310	1,295	23.94%	20	3,130	0.64%
Sainte-Sophie	1	15	6.67%	580	4,350	13.33%	15	11,079	0.14%
Sainte-Thérèse	1	45	2.22%	190	3,640	5.22%	7	8,533	0.08%
Saint-Eustache	1	83	1.20%	285	9,775	2.92%	13	26,211	0.05%
Saint-Félix-de-Valois	1	11	9.09%	270	1,865	14.48%	6	4,431	0.14%
Saint-Félicien	2	19	10.53%	650	2,840	22.89%	57	6,604	0.86%
Saint-Georges	5	48	10.42%	1,400	7,905	17.71%	60	18,443	0.33%
Saint-Hippolyte	2	10	20.00%	820	3,335	24.59%	20	7,521	0.27%
Saint-Hyacinthe	5	105	4.76%	905	8,790	10.30%	34	20,573	0.17%
Saint-Jérôme	5	100	5.00%	1,780	12,095	14.72%	94	28,884	0.33%
Saint-Jean-sur-Richelieu	12	177	6.78%	3,505	20,070	17.46%	127	51,616	0.25%
Saint-Joseph-de-Beauce	1	9	11.11%	230	1,400	16.43%	7	3,363	0.21%
Saint-Joseph-du-Lac	1	9	11.11%	290	1,785	16.25%	14	4,851	0.29%
Saint-Lambert	3	38	7.89%	340	3,050	11.15%	18	7,831	0.23%
Saint-Lazare	1	22	4.55%	600	6,055	9.91%	10	18,277	0.05%

Community	# of At-risk DA's	Total DA's	% of At-risk DA's	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's*	Total Private Single Detached Dwellings*	% of At-risk Private Single Detached Dwellings*	Aboriginal Population in At-risk DA's	Single Detached Dwelling Population Total*	% of At-risk Population
Saint-Lin--Laurentides	1	22	4.55%	840	5,420	15.50%	28	14,416	0.19%
Saint-Paul	1	6	16.67%	550	1,745	31.52%	3	4,398	0.07%
Saint-Prosper	1	8	12.50%	180	1,190	15.13%	4	2,834	0.14%
Saint-Rémi	1	10	10.00%	295	1,810	16.30%	35	4,476	0.78%
Saint-Raymond	1	15	6.67%	460	3,215	14.31%	10	7,416	0.13%
Saint-Roch-de-l'Achigan	1	8	12.50%	280	1,400	20.00%	5	3,550	0.14%
Saint-Sauveur	1	14	7.14%	460	2,900	15.86%	12	5,865	0.20%
Saint-Zotique	1	6	16.67%	790	2,025	39.01%	49	4,966	0.99%
Salaberry-de-Valleyfield	3	65	4.62%	1,035	8,660	11.95%	52	19,564	0.27%
Senneterre	1	6	16.67%	130	700	18.57%	49	1,535	3.19%
Sept-Îles	3	44	6.82%	510	5,130	9.94%	188	12,711	1.48%
Shannon	1	7	14.29%	625	1,240	50.40%	26	3,623	0.72%
Shawinigan	5	87	5.75%	1,450	11,880	12.21%	58	25,874	0.22%
Sherbrooke	15	249	6.02%	3,500	27,350	12.80%	197	66,431	0.30%
Sorel-Tracy	6	55	10.91%	1,500	8,700	17.24%	62	19,185	0.32%
Stoneham-et-Tewkesbury	1	9	11.11%	520	2,500	20.80%	16	6,258	0.26%
Sutton	1	7	14.29%	195	1,385	14.08%	6	2,860	0.21%
Témiscouata-sur-le-Lac	1	10	10.00%	235	1,460	16.10%	5	3,230	0.15%

Community	# of At-risk DA's	Total DA's	% of At-risk DA's	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's*	Total Private Single Detached Dwellings*	% of At-risk Private Single Detached Dwellings*	Aboriginal Population in At-risk DA's	Single Detached Dwelling Population Total*	% of At-risk Population
Terrebonne	5	181	2.76%	2,735	26,580	10.29%	85	74,808	0.11%
Thetford Mines	1	50	2.00%	150	7,495	2.00%	14	16,127	0.09%
Trois-Rivières	15	234	6.41%	4,170	26,345	15.83%	201	62,124	0.32%
Val-des-Monts	1	14	7.14%	545	3,560	15.31%	66	9,024	0.73%
Val-d'Or	5	55	9.09%	1,160	7,145	16.24%	118	17,174	0.69%
Varennes	1	36	2.78%	205	5,130	4.00%	13	14,527	0.09%
Vaudreuil-Dorion	1	38	2.63%	1,835	8,145	22.53%	32	22,177	0.14%
Verchères	1	9	11.11%	245	1,690	14.50%	10	4,197	0.24%
Victoriaville	5	80	6.25%	1,050	9,580	10.96%	27	22,576	0.12%
Waterloo	1	8	12.50%	160	870	18.39%	7	1,916	0.37%
Weedon	1	5	20.00%	360	950	37.89%	13	2,060	0.63%
Westmount	2	34	5.88%	5	1,055	0.47%	6	3,088	0.19%
Windsor	1	11	9.09%	160	1,220	13.11%	3	2,795	0.11%
Total	503	10,793	4.66%	127,000	1,097,115	11.58%	11,885	2,792,528	0.43%

* Areas also include Non-Aboriginal counts

^^On-Reserve" dissemination area

APPENDIX L: QUEBEC – AT-RISK “ON-RESERVE” COMMUNITY DETAILS

Community	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's	Aboriginal Population Total
Akwesasne	0	0
Chisasibi	740	4250
Doncaster	0	0
Eastmain	105	730
Essipit	80	0
Gesgapegiag	0	0
Hunter's Point	0	0
Kahnawake	0	0
Kanesatake	0	0
Kawawachikamach	130	580
Kebaowek	80	236
Kitcisakik	90	345
Kitigan Zibi	0	0
La Romaine	130	547
Lac-John	0	0
Lac-Rapide	0	0
Lac-Simon	280	1395
Listuguj	240	1620
Maliotenam	410	1295
Manawan	295	2055
Mashteuiatsh	355	1128
Matimekosh	125	520
Mingan	115	450
Mistissini	360	1663
Natashquan	165	860
Nemaska	135	665
Obedjiwan	335	2015
Odanak	180	335
Oujé-Bougoumou	0	0
Pakuashipi	0	0
Pessamit	370	1248

Community	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's	Aboriginal Population Total
Pikogan	130	530
Timiskaming	155	510
Uashat	355	1319
W4linak	65	100
Waskaganish	400	2180
Waswanipi	275	1805
Wemindji	245	1330
Wemotaci	155	1170
Wendake	0	0
Whapmagoostui	170	815
Winneway	85	315
Total	6,755	32,011

APPENDIX M: NEW BRUNSWICK – AT-RISK “OFF-RESERVE” COMMUNITY DETAILS

Community	# of At-risk DA's	Total DA's	% of At-risk DA's	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's*	Total Private Single Detached Dwellings*	% of At-risk Private Single Detached Dwellings*	Aboriginal Population in At-risk DA's	Single Detached Dwelling Population Total*	% of At-risk Population
Addington	1	6	16.67%	250	935	26.74%	17	2,323	1.57%
Alnwick	1	13	7.69%	245	2,285	10.72%	12	5,591	0.89%
Bathurst	2	27	7.41%	415	3,380	12.28%	37	7,378	0.33%
Beaubassin East / Beaubassin-est	1	10	10.00%	480	2,315	20.73%	40	5,656	0.52%
Beresford	1	9	11.11%	485	1,250	38.80%	67	2,969	0.58%
Campbellton	1	15	6.67%	100	1,650	6.06%	24	3,459	0.25%
Caraquet	1	9	11.11%	280	1,380	20.29%	9	3,010	2.25%
Dalhousie	1	8	12.50%	200	1,205	16.60%	22	2,460	0.75%
Dieppe	2	27	7.41%	1,195	5,045	23.69%	95	13,354	0.25%
Douglas	1	8	12.50%	445	2,005	22.19%	44	5,384	0.50%
Dundas	1	12	8.33%	280	2,405	11.64%	9	5,773	1.29%
Edmundston	2	34	5.88%	390	4,310	9.05%	21	9,224	0.43%
Fredericton	4	92	4.35%	1,555	11,890	13.08%	179	28,306	0.07%
Grand Bay-Westfield	1	9	11.11%	375	1,690	22.19%	5	4,588	4.44%
Hampton	1	8	12.50%	230	1,280	17.97%	19	3,361	0.95%
Hardwicke	1	5	20.00%	260	905	28.73%	41	2,109	0.70%
Kingsclear	1	10	10.00%	355	2,250	15.78%	13	6,254	1.21%

Community	# of At-risk DA's	Total DA's	% of At-risk DA's	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's*	Total Private Single Detached Dwellings*	% of At-risk Private Single Detached Dwellings*	Aboriginal Population in At-risk DA's	Single Detached Dwelling Population Total*	% of At-risk Population
Lincoln	1	11	9.09%	155	1,405	11.03%	24	3,593	0.46%
Miramichi	3	36	8.33%	740	5,405	13.69%	37	12,646	0.37%
Moncton	1	16	6.25%	375	2,965	12.65%	11	7,815	1.15%
Moncton	6	114	5.26%	1,585	13,820	11.47%	278	32,873	0.04%
New Maryland	1	6	16.67%	375	1,305	28.74%	16	3,761	1.80%
Oromocto	1	18	5.56%	315	2,110	14.93%	37	5,650	0.40%
Quispamsis	2	31	6.45%	785	5,300	14.81%	36	15,476	0.41%
Riverview	4	31	12.90%	1,340	5,315	25.21%	86	13,426	0.29%
Rothsay	1	23	4.35%	335	3,500	9.57%	61	9,436	0.16%
Sackville	1	9	11.11%	345	1,745	19.77%	7	4,073	2.82%
Saint John	6	133	4.51%	1,430	12,545	11.40%	163	30,310	0.07%
Saint Marys	1	6	16.67%	315	1,440	21.88%	44	3,829	0.50%
Saumarez	1	13	7.69%	420	2,430	17.28%	17	6,016	1.02%
Shediac	1	7	14.29%	490	1,550	31.61%	19	3,488	1.66%
Shippagan	1	6	16.67%	130	765	16.99%	5	1,720	3.40%
Woodstock	1	9	11.11%	290	1,420	20.42%	14	3,182	1.46%
Total	55	771	7.13%	16,965	109,200	15.54%	1,509	268,486	0.56%

* Areas also include Non-Aboriginal counts

APPENDIX N: NEW BRUNSWICK – AT-RISK “ON-RESERVE” COMMUNITY DETAILS

Community	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's	Aboriginal Population Total
Big Hole Tract 8 (South Half)	0	0
Buctouche 16	30	80
Burnt Church 14	345	995
Devon 30	265	759
Eel Ground 2	170	404
Eel River 3	120	330
Fort Folly 1	20	32
Indian Island 28	50	100
Indian Ranch	20	50
Kingsclear 6	175	445
Oromocto 26	65	260
Pabineau 11	55	140
Red Bank 4	140	311
Richibucto 15	505	1940
St. Basile 10	80	155
Tabusintac 9	0	0
Tobique 20	340	975
Woodstock 23	115	285
Total	2,495	7,261

APPENDIX O: NOVA SCOTIA- AT-RISK “OFF-RESERVE” COMMUNITY DETAILS

Community	# of At-risk DA's	Total DA's	% of At-risk DA's	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's*	Total Private Single Detached Dwellings*	% of At-risk Private Single Detached Dwellings*	Aboriginal Population in At-risk DA's	Single Detached Dwelling Population Total*	% of At-risk Population
Amherst	2	20	10.00%	335	2,210	15.16%	24	4,830	0.50%
Annapolis, Subd. A	1	13	7.69%	300	2,470	12.15%	20	5,429	0.37%
Annapolis, Subd. C	1	10	10.00%	270	1,910	14.14%	46	4,373	1.05%
Antigonish	1	9	11.11%	200	925	21.62%	14	2,049	0.68%
Antigonish, Subd. A	2	15	13.33%	495	2,335	21.20%	45	5,996	0.75%
Argyle	1	14	7.14%	445	3,260	13.65%	201	7,858	2.56%
Barrington	1	12	8.33%	335	2,750	12.18%	26	6,391	0.41%
Bridgewater	1	15	6.67%	235	2,220	10.59%	39	4,699	0.83%
Cape Breton	9	191	4.71%	2,690	30,545	8.81%	168	72,272	0.23%
Chester	2	20	10.00%	600	4,200	14.29%	29	9,301	0.31%
Clare	1	18	5.56%	210	3,435	6.11%	64	7,755	0.83%
Colchester, Subd. B	3	34	8.82%	765	6,125	12.49%	75	15,043	0.50%
Colchester, Subd. C	3	25	12.00%	740	4,510	16.41%	79	11,083	0.71%
Cumberland, Subd. C	1	10	10.00%	235	2,015	11.66%	4	4,763	0.08%
Digby	1	16	6.25%	360	3,015	11.94%	34	6,852	0.50%
East Hants	3	33	9.09%	1,130	7,310	15.46%	93	18,954	0.49%
Guysborough	1	9	11.11%	250	1,655	15.11%	17	3,556	0.48%
Halifax	25	594	4.21%	8,040	84,240	9.54%	1,180	217,583	0.54%
Kentville	1	11	9.09%	195	1,645	11.85%	23	3,687	0.62%

Community	# of At-risk DA's	Total DA's	% of At-risk DA's	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's*	Total Private Single Detached Dwellings*	% of At-risk Private Single Detached Dwellings*	Aboriginal Population in At-risk DA's	Single Detached Dwelling Population Total*	% of At-risk Population
Kings, Subd. A	4	40	10.00%	905	7,445	12.16%	72	18,108	0.40%
Kings, Subd. B	2	23	8.70%	415	3,845	10.79%	34	9,487	0.36%
Kings, Subd. C	2	16	12.50%	370	2,255	16.41%	61	5,438	1.12%
Lunenburg	4	49	8.16%	1,225	9,915	12.36%	55	23,016	0.24%
New Glasgow	1	19	5.26%	140	2,550	5.49%	5	5,672	0.09%
Pictou, Subd. B	1	12	8.33%	230	2,100	10.95%	18	4,947	0.36%
Queens	1	23	4.35%	390	4,220	9.24%	49	9,481	0.52%
Richmond, Subd. C	1	6	16.67%	345	1,255	27.49%	7	2,989	0.23%
Truro	3	23	13.04%	470	2,660	17.67%	50	5,525	0.91%
Victoria, Subd. B	1	8	12.50%	270	1,470	18.37%	16	3,334	0.48%
West Hants	1	23	4.35%	310	5,250	5.90%	12	13,026	0.09%
Westville	1	8	12.50%	155	1,180	13.14%	20	2,892	0.69%
Windsor	1	6	16.67%	175	790	22.15%	32	1,667	1.92%
Yarmouth	1	16	6.25%	335	3,905	8.58%	81	9,353	0.87%
Total	84	1,341	6.26%	23,565	215,615	10.93%	2,693	527,402	0.51%

* Areas also include Non-Aboriginal counts

APPENDIX P: NOVA SCOTIA – AT-RISK “ON-RESERVE” COMMUNITY DETAILS

Community	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's	Aboriginal Population Total
Bear River (Part) 6	45	80
Bear River 6B	0	0
Beaver Lake 17	0	0
Cambridge 32	40	125
Chapel Island 5	135	455
Cole Harbour 30	10	5
Eskasoni 3	575	2491
Fisher's Grant 24	135	430
Glooscap 35	20	50
Gold River 21	25	64
Indian Brook 14	285	1045
Membertou 28B	260	855
Merigomish Harbour 31	0	0
Millbrook 27	235	706
New Ross 20	0	0
Pennal 19	0	0
Pomquet And Afton 23	90	360
Ponhook Lake 10	0	0
Sheet Harbour 36	0	0
Shubenacadie 13	0	0
Wagmatcook 1	140	500
Whycocomagh 2	215	800
Wildcat 12	0	0
Yarmouth 33	0	0
Total	2210	7,966

APPENDIX Q: PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND – AT-RISK “OFF-RESERVE” COMMUNITY DETAILS

Community	# of At-risk DA's	Total DA's	% of At-risk DA's	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's*	Total Private Single Detached Dwellings*	% of At-risk Private Single Detached Dwellings*	Aboriginal Population in At-risk DA's	Single Detached Dwelling Population Total*	% of At-risk Population
Charlottetown	7	65	10.77%	1,405	7,185	19.55%	55	17,356	0.32%
Stratford	1	14	7.14%	475	2,370	20.04%	37	6,353	0.58%
Summerside	1	29	3.45%	330	3,425	9.64%	17	8,203	0.21%
Total	9	108	8.33%	2,210	12,980	17.03%	109	31,912	0.34%

* Areas also include Non-Aboriginal counts

APPENDIX R: PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND – AT-RISK “ON-RESERVE” COMMUNITY DETAILS

Community	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's	Aboriginal Population Total
Lennox Island 1	100	255
Morell 2	0	0
Rocky Point 3	15	0
Scotchfort 4	55	135
Total	170	390

APPENDIX S: NEWFOUNDLAND AND LABRADOR – AT-RISK “OFF RESERVE” COMMUNITY DETAILS

Community	# of At-risk DA's	Total DA's	% of At-risk DA's	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's*	Total Private Single Detached Dwellings*	% of At-risk Private Single Detached Dwellings*	Aboriginal Population in At-risk DA's	Single Detached Dwelling Population Total*	% of At-risk Population
Bay Roberts	1	10	10.00%	260	2,030	12.81%	7	5,247	0.13%
Carbonear	1	10	10.00%	230	1,615	14.24%	22	3,957	0.56%
Channel-Port aux Basques	1	7	14.29%	455	1,500	30.33%	41	3,411	1.20%
Conception Bay South	4	39	10.26%	1,435	7,230	19.85%	77	19,355	0.40%
Corner Brook	1	37	2.70%	525	5,365	9.79%	433	12,651	3.42%
Deer Lake	1	9	11.11%	300	1,525	19.67%	35	3,827	0.91%
Gander	1	16	6.25%	550	2,975	18.49%	63	7,230	0.87%
Grand Falls-Windsor	3	27	11.11%	590	3,670	16.08%	159	8,800	1.81%
Happy Valley-Goose Bay	1	15	6.67%	260	1,780	14.61%	507	4,652	10.90%
Labrador City	1	15	6.67%	80	1,415	5.65%	25	3,765	0.66%
Lewisporte	1	7	14.29%	170	1,135	14.98%	6	2,677	0.22%
Marystown	1	10	10.00%	385	1,645	23.40%	26	4,022	0.65%
Mount Pearl	3	44	6.82%	650	4,315	15.06%	46	11,025	0.42%
Paradise	2	21	9.52%	1,250	4,995	25.03%	136	13,514	1.01%
Portugal Cove-St. Philip's	1	10	10.00%	485	2,505	19.36%	27	6,840	0.39%
St. John's	12	185	6.49%	4,100	20,105	20.39%	437	49,238	0.89%
Stephenville	1	14	7.14%	340	1,565	21.73%	336	3,612	9.30%
Torbay	1	11	9.09%	425	2,405	17.67%	38	6,797	0.56%
Total	37	487	7.60%	12,490	67,775	18.43%	2,421	170,616	1.42%

* Areas also include Non-Aboriginal counts

APPENDIX T: NEWFOUNDLAND AND LABRADOR – AT-RISK “ON-RESERVE” COMMUNITY DETAILS

Community	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's	Aboriginal Population Total
Natuashish 2	175	885
Samiajj Miawpukek	300	805
Sheshatshiu 3	285	1280
Total	760	2,970

APPENDIX U: NORTHWEST TERRITORIES – AT-RISK “OFF-RESERVE” COMMUNITY DETAILS

Community	# of At-risk DA's	Total DA's	% of At-risk DA's	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's*	Total Private Single Detached Dwellings*	% of At-risk Private Single Detached Dwellings*	Aboriginal Population in At-risk DA's	Single Detached Dwelling Population Total*	% of At-risk Population
Inuvik	1	8	12.50%	155	555	27.93%	541	1,547	34.97%
Yellowknife	2	35	5.71%	130	3,535	3.68%	565	10,221	5.53%
Total	3	43	6.98%	285	4,090	6.97%	1,106	11,768	9.40%

* Areas also include Non-Aboriginal counts

APPENDIX V: NORTHWEST TERRITORIES – AT-RISK “ON-RESERVE” COMMUNITY DETAILS

Community	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's	Aboriginal Population Total
Hay River Dene 1	85	280
Salt Plains 195	0	0
Total	85	280

APPENDIX W: YUKON – AT-RISK “OFF-RESERVE” COMMUNITY DETAILS

Community	# of At-risk DA's	Total DA's	% of At-risk DA's	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's*	Total Private Single Detached Dwellings*	% of At-risk Private Single Detached Dwellings*	Aboriginal Population in At-risk DA's	Single Detached Dwelling Population Total*	% of At-risk Population
Whitehorse	2	27	7.41%	1,055	5,085	20.75%	681	13,336	5.11%

* Areas also include Non-Aboriginal counts

APPENDIX X: YUKON – AT-RISK “ON-RESERVE” COMMUNITY DETAILS

Community	# of Private Single Detached Dwelling in At-risk DA's	Aboriginal Population Total
Champagne Landing 10	0	0
Kloo Lake	0	0
Klukshu	0	0
Two and One-Half Mile Village	0	0
Two Mile Village	0	0
Total	0	0

References

- Chien, S. & Wu, G. (2008). The strategies of fire prevention on residential fire in Taipei. *Fire Safety Journal*, 43: 71-76.
- Clare, J., Garis, L., Plecas, D., & Jennings, C. (2012). Reduced frequency and severity of residential fires following delivery of fire prevention education by on-duty firefighters: Cluster randomized controlled study. *Journal of Safety Research*, 43(2): 123-128. [doi:10.1016/j.jsr.2012.03.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsr.2012.03.003).
- Canadian Mortgage and Housing Corporation (2007). Fire Prevention in Aboriginal Communities. Ottawa, ON.
- Duncanson, M., Woodward, A., & Reid, P. (2002). Socioeconomic deprivation and fatal unintentional domestic fire incidents in New Zealand, 1993-1998. *Fire Safety Journal*, 37: 165-179.
- Garis, L., Clare, J. (2012). Smoke Alarms Work, But Not Forever: Posing the Challenge of Adopting Multifaceted, Sustained, Interagency Responses to Ensuring the Presence of a Functioning Smoke Alarm.
- Garis, L., Hughan, S., McCormick A. (2016). *Targeted Residential Fire Risk Reduction: A Summary of At-Risk Areas in Canada*. Abbotsford: University of the Fraser Valley.
- Garis, L., Hughan, S., McCormick A., Maxim, P. (2016). *Targeted Residential Fire Risk Reduction: A Summary of At-Risk Aboriginal Areas in Manitoba*. Abbotsford: University of the Fraser Valley.
- Jennings, C. (1999). Socioeconomic characteristics and their relationship to fire incidence: A review of the literature. *Fire Technology*, 35(1), 7-34.
- LeBlanc, J. C., Pless, I. B., King, W. J., Bawden, H., Bernard-Bonnin, A. -C., Klassen, T., et al. (2006). Home safety measures and the risk of unintentional injury among young children: a multicentre case-control study. *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, 175(8), 883-887.
- Marshall, S.W., Runyan, C.W., Bangdiwala, S.I., Linzer, M.A., Sacks, J.J., & Butts, J.D. (1998). Fatal residential fires: Who dies and who survives? *JAMA*, 279(2): 1633-1637.
- McCormick, A.V. (2009). *Residential Fires in Surrey, B.C.: 1998-2007*. Abbotsford, BC: University of the Fraser Valley.
- Miller, I. (2005). *Human Behaviour Contributing to Unintentional Residential Fire Deaths, 1997-2003*. New Zealand Fire Service Commission Research Report Number 47.
- Schaenman, P., Stambaugh, H., Rossomando, C., Jennings, C., & Perroni, C. (1990). *Proving Public Fire Education Works*. Arlington, Virginia: TriData Corporation.
- Scholer, S. J., Hickson, G. B., Mitchel, E. F., & Ray, W. A. (1998). Predictors of mortality from fires in young children. *Pediatrics*, 101(5), 1-5.
- Shaw, K. N., McCormick, M. C., Kustra, S. L., Ruddy, R. M., & Casey, R. D. (1988). Correlates of reported smoke detector usage in an inner-city population: participants in a smoke detector give-away program. *American Journal of Public Health*, 78(6), 650-653.
- Statistics Canada (Accessed May 2016). *NHS Profile, 2011 – About the Data*. <https://www12.statcan.gc.ca/nhs-enm/2011/dp-pd/prof/help-aide/aboutdata-aproposdonnees.cfm?Lang=E>
- [Statistics Canada \(2012\) Census Dictionary, Census Year 2011. Catalogue no. 98-301-X2011001](#)

- TriData (2007). *Global Concepts in Residential Fire Safety: Part 1 – Best Practices from England, Scotland, Sweden and Norway*. Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Injury Prevention and Control, Division of Unintentional Injury Prevention.
- TriData. (2009). *Global Concepts in Residential Fire Safety: Part 3 – Best Practices from Canada, Puerto Rico, Mexico, and Dominican Republic*. Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Injury Prevention and Control, Division of Unintentional Injury Prevention.
- U.S. Fire Administration. (1997). Socioeconomic factors and the incidence of fire. Federal Emergency Management Agency, United States Fire Administration, National Fire Data Center.
- U.S. Fire Administration. (2004). Fire risk. Emmitsburg, MD: National Fire Data Center.
- Vision 20/20. (2008). National strategies for fire loss prevention: Washington DC 2008. Forum Results and Report.

Author Biographical Information

Len Garis is the Fire Chief for the City of Surrey, British Columbia, an Adjunct Professor in the School of Criminology and Criminal Justice & Associate to the Centre for Social Research at the University of the Fraser Valley, a member of the Affiliated Research Faculty at John Jay College of Criminal Justice in New York, and a faculty member of the Institute of Canadian Urban Research Studies at Simon Fraser University. Contact him at LWGaris@surrey.ca.

Sarah Hughan, BES, GISP is an accredited firefighter and is the Computer & GIS Specialist with Surrey Fire Services. Contact her at SHughan@surrey.ca.

Paul Maxim, Professor, Wilfrid Laurier University : Paul Maxim obtained his MA in criminology at the University of Ottawa and his PhD in sociology at the University of Pennsylvania where he specialized in criminology and research methods. He is currently a professor in the Department of Economics and the Balsillie School of International Affairs at Wilfrid Laurier University in Waterloo, Ontario. His primary areas of research interest are population and labour economics. Contact him at PMaxim@wlu.ca

Alex Tyakoff is the Strategic Planning Analyst for the City of Surrey Fire Service, BC. He has 26 years' of experience in public safety research and analysis, and was a former city planner. He possesses a Master of Science (MSc) degree in Planning from the School of Community and Regional Planning (SCARP), University of British Columbia. Contact him at Atyakoff@surrey.ca

